# CITY COUNCIL AGENDA STATEMENT 

Date:
September 14, 2021
To: Honorable Mayor and Council Members
From:
Brian Shea, Planning Director
Subject:
Appeal of Planning Commission Decision Regarding Variance Approval

## BACKGROUND:

Below is the portion of the staff report from the May Planning Commission meeting as it pertained to the variance request.

## VARIANCE EVALUATION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS:

This request is being evaluated in accordance with Section 102.120 and in context with the approved Conditional Use. The criteria contemplated for a variance under other circumstances are "hardship" criteria.

In accordance with Section 102.120 of the Code, staff has considered and determined the Applicant met the following criteria:

## A. Special Circumstances:

The Property has a gross area of nine (9) acres or 392,171 Square feet, with approximately 7.69 or 334,887 square feet of upland. The applicant proposes to have a Mixed-Use light industrial use on the property. Pursuant to the Code, the property has an open space requirement of $20 \%$ and pursuant to Table 103.15.3, Code Section 103.15, a FAR requirement of thirty percent ( $30 \%$ ). Utilizing the above mentioned FAR ratio and the total Mixed-Use site square footage of $289,723.35$ SF, the Property has a maximum FAR potential of $86,916.9 \mathrm{SF}$. The Development contemplates the addition of a $15,200 \mathrm{SF}$ non-residential warehouse, which is well below the FAR permitted on the Property under the Code.

Based on the unusual and unique conditions or circumstances of the Property, only one (1), spatially limited portion of the Property is viable for development. Pursuant to Section 106.02(B)(6), Clearing of native vegetation (Class I and II habitats) shall be limited to the immediate development area which shall be shown as the area of approved clearing
on the site plan approved by the City Biologist and shall be subject to the mitigation and management requirements of the Code.
A Habitat Evaluation Index prepared by Terramar Environmental Services, Inc, delineates hammock quality, and specifically identifies approximately 47,457 square feet or 1.09 acres of low-quality hammock. Pursuant to Code table 106.16.1, Low-Quality Hammock has a $50 \%$ open space-requirement, which permits the Applicant to clear up to 23,728.5 SF for the Development ("Development Area").
The unusual composition and location of the spatially limited Development Area limits the potential configuration and placement of the $15,200 \mathrm{SF}$ warehouse, and the configuration shown in the Overall Site Concept Plan for Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters ("Site Plan"), However, the applicant states that it is not feasible to develop the warehouse within the Development Area without a variance reducing the required wetland buffer from 50 ' to the SFWMD standards, which require the wetland buffers to have an average of twenty-five feet (25') and permit a minimum of fifteen feet (15') at any given area.
The City has previously approved projects with substantially larger developments to allow them to utilize the SFWMD's regulations in order to permit development within limited space. The Site Plan illustrates that the Development has an average wetland buffer of $25^{\prime}$ and does not have any portion within the $15^{\prime}$ minimum requirement and is therefore compliant with the SFWMD regulations.

## B. Hardships:

The special conditions and circumstances explained above were not created by Applicant.

## C. No Detriment:

The relief will not create a substantial detriment, as determined by the Director, to the public good, substantially impair affected wetland resource, in the opinion of the biologist or impair the intent and purpose of the LDRs or applicable policies under which the variance is granted. The additional of a conservation easement on the parcel increases the ability to retain natural resources.

Additionally, the Development will allow for coral propagation and manatee rehabilitation, both of which are of large public interest in the Florida Keys. Additionally, the proposed laboratory and classroom will increase interactive and educational opportunities for the public.

## D. No Special Privileges:

The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and the identical regulatory zone in which the property is situated. Similar variances have been sought and approved for Fisherman's Community Hospital and the Coco Plum Wastewater Treatment Plant.

## E. Use Authorized:

The site was previously authorized through a conditional use and amendments to the conditional use, and the Conditional Use application is addressed further in this staff report.

## F. Relevant Factors:

## 1. Physical Characteristics of the proposed construction.

The proposed construction is a fifteen thousand two-hundred square foot ( $15,200 \mathrm{sf}$ ) non-residential building, as a Warehouse for marine life and coral propagation, along with raised walkways, and a dock.
2. Whether the use of the property is dependent upon granting the variance.
The use of the property is not dependent on the granting of the variance. The property can continue to be used in its current format. However, any redevelopment of the site requires a conditional use and site plan approval. 3. Whether granting the variance increases or decreases the danger to life and property.
The granting neither increases nor decreases the danger to life or property.
4. The importance to the community of the services to be provided if the variance is granted.
Granting of the variance would permit the applicant to increase educational and interactive opportunities to the public, allowing them to experience the wonders of the Florida Keys and would assist in the muchneeded propagation of coral and the rehabilitation of manatees, which are often injured or killed by boats.

## 5. The compatibility of the proposed variance to the surrounding properties.

The site currently has structures approved through conditional use. This conditional use seeks to expand the uses further. With the neighboring zoning requirements, screening and buffering will reduce impact to neighboring residential properties.
6. The ability to safely access the property by regular and emergency vehicles if the variance is not granted.

The approval of the variance allows for the proposed development to meet fire truck turnaround standards.

## 7. The costs of provided governmental services if the variance is or not granted.

There are no foreseen costs with either granting or denying the variance.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that approval of the variance with the following conditions:

1. All conditions of the Conditional Use approval.
2. A recordation of a conservation easement on the project parcel within the wetland area.
3. Protection of the wetland area shall be assured through a conservation easement recorded in the public records of Monroe County, Florida, pursuant to Chapter 106, Article 8 - "Conservation Management Areas".
4. To reduce further impact potential to the wetlands for this setback reduction Staff is recommending a six-foot-high fence or wall, between the development and the wetlands. The height of the wall as measured from the improved grade would ensure that human encroachment is less likely to occur.

## ANALYSIS:

The Planning Commission was provided competent substantial evidence upon which to vote in favor of granting the variance. Said evidence included information regarding similar outside agency approval requirements, such as SFWMD standards. Additionally, the criteria for granting the request as outlined in Section 102.120 were deemed to be met by the Planning Commission.

## CONCLUSION:

The City of Marathon indicates that the Planning Commission acted within their authority in granting the Variance. The appellant has filed an appeal for the granting of the variance, and the City Council shall conduct a de novo hearing and shall consider whether the decision of the Planning Commission, should or should not be sustained or modified. By resolution, the City Council as the appellate body shall either affirm, modify or reverse the lower decision and such action shall be by a majority vote of all members present.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the conclusions identified immediately above, the City Council should deny the Appeal of the Planning Commission decision brought by Seawatch at Marathon Condominium Association, Inc. determining that the decision of the Planning Commission should be upheld.

# ATTACHMENT 1 <br> PC Resolution 2021-001 

\author{

- <br> \section*{CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA <br> <br> PC RESOLUTION 2021-001}
}

Sponsored by: Shea

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE REQUEST FOR A SETBACK REDUCTION ALONG THE WETLAND DELINEATION LINE; PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 102, ARTICLE 20, SECTION 102.115, "REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCEDURES"; LOCATED AT 11710 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY; WHICH IS LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS PART OF GOVERNMENT LOT 4, SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 66 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, KEY VACCAS, MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00104130000000.

WHEREAS, on the $17^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2021, the City of Marathon (the "City") Planning Commission (the "Commission"), conducted properly advertised public hearings (the "Public Hearings") regarding the request submitted by Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters, LLC (the "Applicant"), for a variance pursuant to Section 102.115 of the City Code (the "Code"); and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the variance is to allow the Applicant to construct a warehouse structure closer than the required 50 -foot setback from wetlands, yet still consistent with SFWMD wetland setback standards (the "Proposed Use") at the property described in the application (the "Property").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein.
Section 2. The Planning Commission hereby approves Development Order Number 2021-10, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A", granting a variance to Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters, LLC for the Proposed Use. The Director of Planning is authorized to sign the development order on behalf of the City.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.
PASSED AND APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of Marathon, Florida, this $21^{\text {st }}$ day of June 2021.

THE CITY OF MARATHON PLANNING COMMISSION
Lynn Landry, Planning Commission Chair

AYES: 4
NOES:
ABSENT: 1
ABSTAIN:


Lorie Mullins,
Board Clerk
(City Seal)
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY FOR THE USE AND RELIANCE OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA ONLY:
\&t 7 wil
Steven T. Williams,
City Attorney

CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA<br>VARIANCE<br>DEVELOPMENT ORDER \# 2021-10


#### Abstract

A DEVELOPMENT ORDER OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE REQUEST FOR A SETBACK REDUCTION ALONG THE WETLAND DELINEATION LINE; PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 102, ARTICLE 20, SECTION 102.115, "REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCEDURES"; LOCATED AT 11710 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY; WHICH IS LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS PART OF GOVERNMENT LOT 4, SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 66 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, KEY VACCAS, MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00104130-000000.


WHEREAS, on the $17^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2021, the City of Marathon (the "City") Planning Commission (the "Commission"), conducted properly advertised public hearings (the "Public Hearings") regarding the request submitted by Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters, LLC (the "Applicant"), for a variance pursuant to Section 102.115 of the City Code (the "Code"); and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the variance is to allow the Applicant to construct a warehouse structure closer than the required 50 -foot setback from wetlands, yet still consistent with SFWMD wetland setback standards (the "Proposed Use") at the property described in the application (the "Property").

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

## A. Special Circumstances:

The Property has a gross area of nine (9) acres or 392,171 Square feet, with approximately 7.69 or 334,887 square feet of upland. The applicant proposes to have a Mixed-Use light industrial use on the property. Pursuant to the Code, the property has an open space requirement of $20 \%$ and pursuant to Table 103.15.3, Code Section 103.15, a FAR requirement of thirty percent (30\%). Utilizing the above mentioned FAR ratio and the total Mixed-Use site square footage of $289,723.35 \mathrm{SF}$, the Property has a maximum FAR potential of 86,916.9 SF. The Development contemplates the addition of a 15,200 SF non-residential warehouse, which is well below the FAR permitted on the Property under the Code.

Based on the unusual and unique conditions or circumstances of the Property, only one (1), spatially limited portion of the Property is viable for development. Pursuant to Section 106.02(B)(6), Clearing of native vegetation (Class I and II habitats) shall be limited to the immediate development area which shall be shown as the area of approved clearing on
the site plan approved by the City Biologist and shall be subject to the mitigation and management requirements of the Code.

A Habitat Evaluation Index prepared by Terramar Environmental Services, Inc, delineates hammock quality, and specifically identifies approximately 47,457 square feet or 1.09 acres of low-quality hammock. Pursuant to Code table 106.16.1, Low-Quality Hammock has a $50 \%$ open space-requirement, which permits the Applicant to clear up to 23,728.5 SF for the Development ("Development Area").

The unusual composition and location of the spatially limited Development Area limits the potential configuration and placement of the $15,200 \mathrm{SF}$ warehouse, and the configuration shown in the Overall Site Concept Plan for Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters ("Site Plan"), However, the applicant states that it is not feasible to develop the warehouse within the Development Area without a variance reducing the required wetland buffer from $50^{\prime}$ to the SFWMD standards, which require the wetland buffers to have an average of twenty-five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ) and permit a minimum of fifteen feet ( $15^{\prime}$ ) at any given area.

The City has previously approved projects with substantially larger developments to allow them to utilize the SFWMD's regulations in order to permit development within limited space. The Site Plan illustrates that the Development has an average wetland buffer of $25^{\prime}$ and does not have any portion within the $15^{\prime}$ minimum requirement and is therefore compliant with the SFWMD regulations.

## B. Hardships:

The special conditions and circumstances explained above were not created by Applicant.
C. No Detriment:

The relief will not create a substantial detriment, as determined by the Director, to the public good, substantially impair affected wetland resource, in the opinion of the biologist or impair the intent and purpose of the LDRs or applicable policies under which the variance is granted. The additional of a conservation easement on the parcel increases the ability to retain natural resources.

Additionally, the Development will allow for coral propagation and manatee rehabilitation, both of which are of large public interest in the Florida Keys.

Additionally, the proposed laboratory and classroom will increase interactive and educational opportunities for the public.
D. No Special Privileges:

The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and the identical regulatory zone in which the property is situated. Similar variances have been sought and approved for Fisherman's Community Hospital and the Coco Plum Wastewater Treatment Plant.
E. Use Authorized:

The site was previously authorized through a conditional use and amendments to the conditional use, and the Conditional Use application is being reviewed concurrently per Section 102.115.B.

## F. Relevant Factors:

1. Physical Characteristics of the proposed construction.

The proposed construction is a fifteen thousand two-hundred square foot ( $15,200 \mathrm{sf}$ ) non-residential building, as a warehouse for marine life and coral propagation, along with raised walkways, and a dock.
2. Whether the use of the property is dependent upon granting the variance.
The use of the property is not dependent on the granting of the variance. The property can continue to be used in its current format. However, any redevelopment of the site requires a conditional use and site plan approval.
3. Whether granting the variance increases or decreases the danger to life and property.
The granting neither increases nor decreases the danger to life or property.
4. The importance to the community of the services to be provided if the variance is granted.
Granting of the variance would permit the applicant to increase educational and interactive opportunities to the public, allowing them to experience the wonders of the Florida Keys and would assist in the much-needed propagation of coral and the rehabilitation of manatees, which are often injured or killed by boats.

## 5. The compatibility of the proposed variance to the surrounding properties.

The site currently has structures approved through conditional use. This conditional use seeks to expand the uses further. With the neighboring zoning requirements, screening and buffering will reduce impact to neighboring residential properties.
6. The ability to safely access the property by regular and emergency vehicles if the variance is not granted.

The approval of the variance allows for the proposed development to meet fire truck turnaround standards.

## 7. The costs of provided governmental services if the variance is or not granted.

There are no foreseen costs with either granting or denying the variance.

## CONDITIONS IMPOSED:

Granting approval of the Application is subject to the following conditions:

1. All conditions of the Conditional Use approval.
2. A recordation of a conservation easement on the project parcel within the wetland area.
3. Protection of the wetland area shall be assured through a conservation easement recorded in the public records of Monroe County, Florida, pursuant to Chapter 106, Article 8 - "Conservation Management Areas".
4. To reduce further impact potential to the wetlands for this setback reduction Staff is recommending a six-foot-high fence or wall, between the development and the wetlands. The height of the wall as measured from the improved grade would ensure that human encroachment is less likely to occur.

## VIOLATION OF CONDITIONS:

The applicant understands and acknowledges that it must comply with all the terms and conditions herein, and all other applicable requirements of the City or other governmental agencies applicable to the use of the Property. In accordance with the Code, the Council may revoke this approval upon a determination that the Applicant or its successor or designee is in non-compliance with this Development Order or Code. Failure to adhere to the terms and conditions of approval contained herein is a violation of the Code and persons found violating the conditions shall be subject to the penalties prescribed therein.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the Planning Commission does hereby make the following Conclusions of Law:

1. The Application has been processed in accordance with the applicable provisions of the City Code, will not be detrimental to the community as a whole, and the applicant has demonstrated by competent substantial evidence that it meets the variance criteria set forth in Section 102.120 of the Code; and
2. In rendering its decision, as reflected in this Resolution, the Planning Commission has:
(a) Accorded procedural due process;
(b) Observed the essential requirements of the law;
(c) Supported its decision by substantial competent evidence of record; and
3. The Application for a variance is hereby GRANTED with conditions.

## RECORDING:

The applicant shall at its sole cost and expense, record a certified copy this Development Order in the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida within five (5) days of receipt of same from the City. The applicant shall provide the City with proof of the recording of the Development Order in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph.

## EFFECTIVE DATE:

This development order shall not take effect for thirty (30) days following the date it is filed with the Board Clerk, and during that time, the variance approval granted herein shall be subject to appeal as provided in the City Code. An appeal shall stay the effectiveness of this development order until said appeal is resolved.


Brian Shea, Planning Director

This Development Order was filed in the Office of the Board Clerk of this 21 day of June
2021.


Lorie Mullins, Board Clerk

## NOTICE

Under the authority of Section 102.123 of the City of Marathon Land Development Regulations, this development order shall become null and void with no further notice required by the City, in 12 months after its effective date or upon expiration of the associated building permit(s), or in five (5) years if any required building permit associated with the variance has not been extended or has lapsed and become void. The time period may be extended for an addition of 12 months by the PC or City Manager. Requests for time extensions shall be in writing and shall be submitted prior to the expiration date. The request shall state the reason for the extension.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Resolution was furnished, via U.S. certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to Ben Daughtry - Florida Keys Animal Encounter, LLC., 11710 Overseas Highway, Marathon, FL 33050, this 21 day of dune, 2021.

ATTACHMENT 2
Appeal Documentation
Appeal \& Planning Commission Transcript

## CITY OF MARATHON PLANNING COMMISSION

LYNN LANDRY, CHAIR MATT SEXTON
SUSAN KLOCK
MIKE LEONARD

STAFF:

GEORGE GARRETT, CITY MANAGER
BRIAN SHEA, PLANNING DIRECTOR
STEVE WILLIAMS, CITY ATTORNEY
LORIE MULLINS, PLANNING ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

ALSO PRESENT:

NICHOLAS MULICK, ESQ. BART VALDES, ESQ. BARTON SMITH, ESQ. BEN DAUGHTRY, APPLICANT

PROCEEDINGS

MS. MULLINS: I'm going to read 2 and 3 together. Item 2 is a Consideration of a Request by Florida Keys Animal Encounters, LLC for a Conditional Use Permit Pursuant to Chapter 102 Article 13 of the City of Marathon Land Development Regulations Entitled "Conditional Use Permits", for the Expansion of the Previously Approved Sea Life Amusement Park and a Marine Educational Facility, to Include a 15,200 Square Foot Warehouse, Office, and Lab Space, at 11710 Overseas Highway, and Legally Described as a Part of Government Lot 4, Section 5, Township 66 South, Range 33 East, Key Vacas, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00104130 followed by six zeroes; and Item 3 is Consideration of a Request by Florida Keys Animal Encounters, LLC for a Variance Pursuant to Chapter 102 Article 20 from the Provisions of Section 106.28 of the City of Marathon Land Development Regulations Regulating the Minimum Setback for a Principal Structure on Property Located at 11710 Overseas Highway and Legally Described as Part of Government Lot 4, Section 5, Township 66 South, Range 33 East, Key Vacas, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00104130 followed by six zeroes.
any of the four of you been contacted by anyone outside of the meeting here? And we need to disclose any ex parte communications if so. COMMISSIONER LEONARD: No. COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Aside from the large slew of e-mails that we received through Ms. Mullins, no. COMMISSIONER SEXTON: I've been contacted by the applicant, Chamber of Commerce, and some of the opposed people. Does not affect my decision this evening. MR. WILLIAMS: That's the important part. And what was the form of contact? E-mail, telephone calls, and in-person meetings?

COMMISSIONER SEXTON: E-mail and telephone. MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I've had a conversation with the applicant and also an owner from Seawatch. It will not affect my decision on this tonight.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. MR SHEA: Before you tonight is the conditional use and the variance application for Florida Keys Animal Encounters, LLC. MR. WILLIAMS: And again, sorry. I'm going to be the bad guy tonight. I'm sorry, everybody in the room. Let's jump to procedure. Before we commenced this evening it was brought to my office's attention that a
party or a group sought to continue the hearing for this evening. That was brought to Mr. Shea's attention, Lorie's attention, and my attention as well. We did not act on that motion to continue. It was forwarded on to you. As members of the Planning Commission you are the proper entity to vote upon that, so before you delve into the actual evidence, testimony, and everything this evening it would make more sense procedurally to hear the motion to continue, vote upon said motion to continue, and then act accordingly for the rest of the meeting. But that's my suggestion, but if you're going to continue it, why do that two hours from now?

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I guess we should hear that motion first.

MR. VALDES: Good evening. Bart Valdes, on behalf of Heidi Valdes. 609 W. Horatio, Tampa, Florida, is my business address, and my address here in Marathon is 7304 Gulf of Mexico Boulevard.

We did file a request to continue the hearing. The reason why is we got notice of this proposal at the very end of April, April 28. Since that time we've hired an expert planning and wetlands expert. We didn't know if Ms. Walters was going to be able to get in her report. I literally got it in today and I plan
to present it today. Also, looking out in the crowd, probably a large number of the people here are against this. Raise your hand if you're against it, just so I --

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, please. Let's not do this. MR. VALDES: And the point is that -MR. WILLIAMS: No. No. The point is, is we do not take polls of the parties. It is contrary to Florida case law that that is not to occur, so let's not do it.

MR. VALDES: And the point is I'm not going to disappoint the people that have showed up here tonight, so we'll withdraw our request and we're ready to move forward tonight.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.
MR. SMITH: Hello.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Are you going first?
MR SHEA: Yup. Okay. The project site is located at 11710 Overseas Highway, near Mile Marker 53. The applicant is seeking conditional use approval for the increase of the 15,200-square-foot office, laboratory, and warehouse on site as well as the variance for the wetland setback.

Here's the location map showing the property highlighted in blue. The Future Land Use Map
designation is Mixed Use Commercial as well as Conservation, and the current zoning is also the Mixed Use and Conservation. Here you can see that the site is split-zoned with that Future Land Use and Mixed Use Commercial and the Conservation and the corresponding zoning.

Now, for reference, the applicant is seeking approval for the portion that is the northernmost portion of this site.

In terms of previous approval, this property has come forward for a conditional use previously, they did the development of the Aquarium Encounters site, they then amended the existing development to remove the affordable housing that was proposed on the site because they'd provided affordable housing offsite as well as other minor amendments to the site plan. The applicant is now seeking to come forward and increase from the previously approved square footage to 15,200 square feet, and that proposed structure will include coral propagation, manatee rehabilitation, laboratory space, office, and a classroom.

Here's the FEMA Fish and Wildlife Map just showing the habitat types on site. As noted in the staff report, if any impact is proposed within the Endangered Species, then the Species Assessment Guides will be
used to review said development and proposals.
And here's the overall site plan of the site.
Now, the first portion that we're going to go over is the variance application in terms of presentation. Again, the existing setback as of your staff report was 50 feet from the wetlands. The applicant is seeking on average a 25-foot setback from the wetland line, which, as noted in the staff report, is compliant with the South Florida Water Management District standards for a setback.

The relevant factors for the approval of the variance are stated above. The proposed construction, again, is 15,200 square feet for marine life and coral propagation. Whether or not the variance is, the use is dependent on granting the variance, while the use is not dependent upon it, the redevelopment requires conditional use and site plan approval, which is why we are here, and whether the variance increases or decreases danger to life, safety, or property, the granting neither increases nor decreases, so that condition is met.

The importance to the community of the services to be provided if the variance is granted, as stated, the coral propagation, manatee rehabilitation, and other work that is done for the environment is a benefit
overall to the City, and within the area that is being proposed with our existing codes in place any detriment to native vegetation will have to be mitigated per Section 106 of our code.

The compatibility of proposed uses, we, again, are here for the compatibility for the conditional use, if I could briefly go back to the overall site plan, you'll see that the existing neighboring warehouse structure to the north is roughly 1,300 square feet -or 13,000 square feet, so compatibility-wise it's very similar on the site for a 15,200 square foot.

The ability to safely access the property is also a condition that we review, and the fire department has reviewed the project for the conditional use, they have added additional conditions for that for the conditional use, so that will be met, and whether or not there are extra government services or costs associated with those services, and there are currently not.

So based upon that staff is recommending approval of the variance with additional conditions, those conditions being that all conditions of the conditional use be approved, a recordation of a conservation easement for the wetland, protection of the wetland area shall be assured through this conservation
easement per Chapter 106, and to reduce further impact to the wetland area we are proposing that the applicant add a 6-foot-tall fence to the site.

Then in terms of the conditional use approval, we looked at all of the criteria there, including ingress and egress, parking, screening above framing, open space. As mentioned, the low hammock has a 50 percent open space requirement. The applicant is proposing to meet that open space requirement. In terms of the screening and buffering, with the relocation or preferred location of the native vegetation on site, that should be relocated to the portion of the site that borders the residential high, so that will add to that increased buffer area, and with the additional parking and ingress and egress through the existing road, that will meet code.

In conclusion, staff has reviewed the conditional use and felt it to be in compliance with the LDRs, is recommending approval with the following conditions. And, again, sorry for the typo, but the Condition 1 should state the 15,200, not the old square footage that's in your staff report.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Any questions for Brian? MR. SMITH: I have one. CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you, Brian.

MR SHEA: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Welcome back, Mr. Smith.
MR. SMITH: Thank you. Thank you. I was told if I keep showing up I may have to rent a space. I hope there's a small corner, cubby, something, something just to store some overnight clothes.

Thank you all for having me, and I'm pleased to represent Florida Keys Animal Encounters on this fantastic project that it's bringing forward. We have a slide show for both items, they're separate. I believe it starts with the conditional use approval, so I think I'll start with that one, as that was the first agenda item.

As stated by Mr. Shea, this is a request for a conditional use approval to amend the existing conditional use approval to expand Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters to provide a much-needed coral restoration and propagation facility, manatee rehabilitation, offices, classrooms, and docks for all of these features to be implemented.

So the first slide, this is of the actual project, and in this slide we're showing the site area. This is the site data, and this is all the data that's on the site plan that's been provided in your packet, and the 24 by 36 had been provided as well. The gross area,
upland area, and all of these details are provided in your packet. Again, this is a depiction of the site. As you can see, the building's on the top part. And as a key component we've provided a environmental site assessment by Phil Frank, who is a biologist, Ph.D., many of you know him well, Phil Frank went out, he inspected all of the hammock, determined it's low quality hammock, which allows for it to be cleared with a 50 percent requirement of open space. That 50 percent open space is met by the plan that's put in place.

So again, this is an amendment to the existing major conditional use, and it must be in compliance with Section 102.79 of the Code of Ordinances. The property is already partially developed with a 2,800-square-foot educational and public aquarium. The existing conditional use permitted the development of the existing 2,800-square-foot building and an additional 6,080-square-foot building. This amendment is now to add a 15,200-square-foot building in the least sensitive environmental area.

The proposed development is the 15,200-square-foot building for marine life and coral propagation, raised walkways and a dock. The development would include elevated walking paths along the mangroves. The
warehouse will be utilized for coral propagation and rehab as well as for manatee and other wildlife. In addition to these uses, the development would allow the property to have a classroom and lab space as well as more office and a holding space for Aquarium Encounters, Dynasty Marine Associates, Inc., and R3EACH, Research, Rescue, Rehabilitation, Education, Awareness, Community, Habitat, the nonprofit of the company.

So this is all in your packet. These are all the data, data requirements evidencing that all of the requirements of the code are in compliance. All of the proposed buildings and the open space, lot coverage, all meet the compliance. The lot coverage percentage is in compliance, the open space percentage is in compliance, all within the requirements of the code. The development does not contemplate any residential, so that is not relevant, and for the commercial floor area analysis, the maximum is up to 40 percent. The proposal with the additional 15,200-square-foot building is 20 percent, in compliance with the code as to floor area ratio. Required hammock, open space, and clearing, I know this sounds like it's going to a contested issue. We have provided and it's in the record the report of Phil

Frank identifying that it's low quality hammock, that the hammock meets criteria to be cleared so long as there's a 50 percent open space that is provided. We meet those criteria under the code.

This is his habitat evaluation prepared by Terramar Environmental Services, Inc. That's specifically identifying approximately the acreage of the hammock and how much can be cleared. As can be seen on the charts that were provided and that is in the record that the property meets the requirements for hammock and for open space.

Setbacks, we meet all of the setbacks that are required. The wetland setback, we are requesting a variance. The wetland setback is unique in that wetlands are regulated also at the State through the DEP regulations but they've delegated their authority to the South Florida Water Management District to actually permit it. It's part of the South Florida Water Management District's regulations. The setback can be an average of 25 feet -- a minimum of 15 feet, and it must average 25 feet. We are requesting to meet those standards, which are the State standards that provide reasonable assurance that it will not degrade the wetlands that are associated outside of the setback, so because we are going to meet those
standards, we are providing reasonable assurance that it will not degrade those wetlands, and we've provided documentation into evidence that we meet the criteria for a variance, and I'll go through that separately in a separate slide show.

Height. Where the required height's 42 feet we will be within the requirements of the height. Parking data, our documentation shows that we're required to have 35.4 parking spaces. We will have a total of 43 parking spaces on the site. The wetland buffer yard, I just went through this.

All of the other development standards required under the code, we have provided evidence that we meet those standards and therefore we are compliant with the requirements of the code to obtain a conditional use approval.

Additional considerations, Mr. Shea went through these. I don't think we need to go through these in more detail. If there are questions on ingress and egress, noise, glare, or odor or utilities I certainly can address them, but I don't think that's going to be germane to the approval, but we do meet the minimum requirements to obtain approval.

And with that, the applicant has provided competent and substantial evidence that we meet all the
requirements of the code in order to obtain approval of the amendment to the major conditional use and we would request that the Board find that we have provided competent and substantial evidence that we meet the requirements of the code to obtain an amendment to the conditional use and grant the -- sorry, recommend this one to the City Commission that we meet the conditions for obtaining conditional use.

And I can stop there, we can go through this item first, or $I$ can combine them together and we can continue through the variance if that's the pleasure of the Council.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Let's go ahead with the variance.

MR. SMITH: Let me pull that next one up.
All right. Here's the variance application, and I will adopt all of the statements that I made under my presentation for the conditional use, so to keep the presentation as brief as possible and I'll just go through some of the parts that are germane to the variance application.

As we discussed, it's a request for a variance with a wetland buffer. It's not a setback on the sides that would affect another property owner. The wetland buffers are to provide reasonable assurance that you
will not degrade the wetland, and of course South Florida Water Management District provides criteria for the minimum standards that are required under the State to protect wetlands. We are requesting to meet those standards.

First, the existence of special site conditions or circumstances. This is a very unique wetland line that provides significant amount of wetland that must be buffered. Because of how the site plan works out it does provide a unique circumstance that we believe constitutes the existence of special conditions or circumstances under the code.

The City of Marathon in several cases has found that the same exact example meets the conditions for special circumstances, Indigo Reef Resort, Fishermen's Community Hospital, and the wastewater treatment plant are three examples of where the variance has been granted based on the same exact set of circumstances that we have here, so this is not precedent-setting in that this is something that has been done routinely before for the wetland buffer we need to meet the South Florida Water Management District standards.

Conditions not created by the applicant. Wetlands certainly weren't created by the applicant. They are there and they've been there for quite a long time and
we hope they'll be there for a long time in the future with those boardwalks being able to provide people in the community an ability to see the wetlands and enjoy them.

Special privileges are not conferred. The granting of this variance will not provide any special privileges. Anyone that meets the South Florida Water Management District standards would be allowed to have the setbacks that the South Florida Water Management District provides and as well the City has previously granted the same variance and same privilege to other projects.

Hardship condition exists. A literal interpretation of the code would apply to the applicant, the ability to develop the floor area necessary for all of the programs that they're looking to implement on this portion of the property. And again, this is something that has been done in the past for projects of a larger nature.

This is only the minimum variance granted. This is the minimum variance that is being granted in almost all respects. Most of the property does need to be 50 foot -- sorry, most of the property is well over 25 feet away, and we can assure that this meets the South Florida Water Management District's standards.

It's not injurious to the public welfare. I think this is self-explanatory, that the wetlands will be protected and that the public welfare is protected by them.

And, of course, is the use authorized? The use is authorized under the code.

So with that we would request approval of the variance, meeting the criteria under the code. And with that I'll open it up to any questions from the Commission.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Any questions?
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: I have one.
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes, sir. Mr. Leonard.
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: As far as setbacks go, okay, we discussed, why do you have to have the setback from 50 to 25? Why can't you do it at 50, and can you explain that to us at all?

MR. SMITH: So at 50 feet the building reaches a size that you cannot provide for manatee rehabilitation and coral propagation. You need a lot of internal space for those types of projects.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: That's, that's kind of floating in the air. We need a little more specifics. If it's 50 feet versus 25, what size building are you building? Is it still 15,000 square feet?

MR. SMITH: No.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: How big would it be?
MR. SMITH: I can't answer that question because I don't know the scale of the building it would be, but I know that it would eliminate the ability to have the programs that they're looking to put in the building. COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Are we sure of that? MR. SMITH: That was my understanding. COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Okay. So, so, so what you're saying is, is that, is that if we don't give the variance on the 25 -foot setback, okay, then they can't do this project? Are you sure that's what you want to say?

MR. SMITH: I would have to confer with my client, but when we looked at different designs I know it would eliminate a lot of the programs that they're looking to implement.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Okay. All right. That's interesting. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: What kind of coral projects are they looking at?

MR. SMITH: Coral propagation.
COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Propagation. I apologize.

MR. SMITH: So I believe it would be all of the typical corals that are growing in the Florida Keys.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Right. And the reason I'm asking is kind of to get back to what Mr. Leonard is saying because, you know, one of the things we're talking about is how much space is really needed, whether or not the entire space and setback can be accomplished on staghorn coral and not have the exact amount of space that your client might desire but can still be accomplished, without, you know, the setbacks that you've asked for.

MR. SMITH: Absolutely. And, Ben, do you want to speak to that? You've got to come up to the microphone. It's got to be on the record. I've learned that over the years.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: State your name for the record, please.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Ben Daughtry, Aquarium Encounters and Dynasty Marine. Thank you, guys, for having me here.

So kind of to the overall answer, sir, I kind of answered this previously to somebody else, which is we're limiting ourselves even where we're at in all honesty in that 15,000. I'd love to be able to put a 20,000-foot building in there. And as we start to shrink this building some of these things are either going to have to be cut back or go away. And
unfortunately things like, that are very important to me, like classrooms, we don't have enough classrooms at the aquarium, I want to put a big classroom in here with wet labs and those kind of things, whether it's coral restoration, whether it's some of the rescue stuff that we're doing in our operational business Dynasty Marine is going to be back there, so the things that don't drive income are things that shrink first and those are, those are things that are important to me and they're important to, I think, this community, and so every thousand square foot that we shrink down we've got to remove stuff and we'll have to make those decisions of what they are just based on the reality of the numbers, so.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Okay. So, so let me ask you the question, then. If, if it remains at a 50-foot setback, okay, approximately how big a building would you be able to build?

MR. DAUGHTRY: I think when we looked at it, it was 8,000, something like that, about half the size. I think.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: So it's cutting it by 40 or 45 percent?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Building size? Okay.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. It's significant.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: So it's a significant
change?

MR. DAUGHTRY: It's a significant change.
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Okay. That's what I was
trying to understand.

MR. DAUGHTRY: And those are kind of --
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: I understand that, but it gives me some guidance on what that 25 feet means.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. It's significant for us.

Anything else while I'm up here, or --
COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Just to add, on the coral propagation, you guys are doing reef rehabilitation and --

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. So currently we're working with Reef Renewal USA, and you'll be hearing from somebody from that organization, and we're doing quite a bit of work with them. It's part of the seven reefs that NOAA has been talking about and the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary, and so we're partnering with them on this, and FWC, where we have a nursery actually out in the wild but we need upland support for that so we're bringing corals both from nurseries in Tavernier and in the Lower Keys, we bring those corals up and we'll bring them into our facility, we'll do some of
the fragging and things that we need to do, set them back out on the reefs. And then there's a whole nother level of coral work that needs to be done. The stony coral tissue disease that kind of rammed through the Keys over the last three, four years, FWC got in front of that and took a tremendous number of corals out of the ocean in front of that and they shipped them to AZA, Association of Zoos and Aquarium facilities, basically, and so there's thousands of corals out there, and those corals need to come back and get put back into the ocean at some point, and with Dynasty Marine we have great working relationships with all of these zoos and aquariums because we've been working with them for over 30 years now, so we've got multiple facets on how this coral propagation, not only propagation but replanting, is going to go. So it's a, we're at the very beginning stages of what's going to be a huge undertaking to, to replace our coral reefs. COMMISSIONER KLOCK: To follow up on one of the things that Mr. Smith was talking about in his presentation, he talked about the benefit to the community and enhance the public welfare. Are you involving the City of Marathon community at all in your coral propagation and your rehabilitation and the fragging and all of that?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. So it's, that's great that you ask, because Reef Renewal USA is really a volunteer-based organization, so it's all about volunteerism and trying to get ownership from the local community to own the reef out there, that it's the reason that we all moved here or live here, continue to live here, so very much so they'll be involved in that and we'll be doing, one of the great partnerships for us with Reef Renewal is that we are the public side for Reef Renewal, we're the only public facility, and we see fifty, 60,000 people a year in our facility. Those people are going to come in and they're going to see what we're doing, we're actually going to be having coral fragging parties that will be open to the public and that kind of thing and trying to really drive some interest in this, because it's going to take, it's going to take the whole village.

COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Who do you work with in terms of the manatee rehabilitation?

MR. DAUGHTRY: So it's currently FWC and Dolphin Research Center. Dolphin Research Center has really been the frontrunner on the rescuing and stuff down here. We've for about three years now been involved in that. You may or may not know, there's been a pretty significant issue with manatees up on the east coast, I
think 700 dead now. It's a huge count. I have e-mails going back and forth today with Miami Seaquarium, they specifically have two to three animals that they're looking to place. I've been in the permitting system already, $I$ don't have the permits to do that, and it's an issue to do that, but because the State of Florida has over 90 manatees right now their, all of their facilities are overflowing and what they want to do is take non-critical care animals and potentially have us store some of them, specifically the young ones, the calfs, because they have to be approximately two years old before they can release them back into the wild, so right now there's a lot of calfs that were left when the moms passed away in that situation, so we're looking at some smaller animals that we're hoping to get in here and help them with that. And we're going to do that, by the way, that's an immediate need. This is something that's probably going to happen in the next couple of months, maybe before that, so before this project, but having this project gives us more capabilities, obviously. Thank you, guys. MR. SMITH: We obviously have people signed up to speak on this. Would you like to open it up for public comment?

MR. WILLIAMS: And Mr. Mulick has approached me, he would like to speak, and then if any of you who have submitted your name in to Lorie, if Mr. Mulick is representing you or speaking for you, you can just say that "I waive" and then we'll move through there. So at this point on behalf of, I believe, the neighbors, if Mr. Mulick can identify who he represents, and this may move this along.

MR. MULICK: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Nicholas Mulick. I am here this evening on behalf of the Seawatch of Marathon Condominium as well as an Association for Protection of Keys Endangered Wetlands, Hammocks, and Birds. That association is about 75 members at this point. We're here to express our strong opposition to this project.

Let me begin by telling you that this is sort of upside-down, seeking a variance when in order to obtain the variance they're going to move 6,000 square feet of space in an environment along U.S. 1, it is already scarified, there is very, very little environmental value, they're going to take those 6,000 feet and move it up to a much more environmentally sensitive area. That is specifically in opposition to your clustering rule. The ordinance is very crystal clear about you cannot locate commercial square footage, actually any
square footage, from the least sensitive to the higher sensitive, so one of the issues here is how do we go about deciding whether to grant the variance if part and parcel of that is a violation of your own clustering rule.

But let's, let's move on from that for a moment and talk about some of the issues in the application. You will notice that nowhere in the application nor the application and the staff report is there mention of the requirement this variance be used to use that property for an economically viable purpose. It is obviously not so. And I say that because this City already approved a conditional use that allows somewhere over 10,000 square feet already existing or planned on that site, but keep in mind, the applicant would like you to believe that we have a 1-acre site that we're considering, this is an 8-and-a-half-acre site. And I know you're being told that it's nine acres, but that is not true. It's not a true statement. It's about 8.3 acres. You're also being told that there's about seven and a half, eight acres of upland. That is not a true statement. It's a little over three. And I say that because there is a -- and by the way, this was, present at that meeting was Mr. Ben Daughtry, and this is the meeting back on

August 30, 2004, and it was in response to a pre-application request, and that request specifically says the lot area, the area, the 9, they say 9, it's actually 8.3 acres, is 4.83 acres of upland. Now, how we got from in 20044.83 to 9 -- I'm sorry, about 7 1/2 or 8 acres, you'll have to ask the applicant. Now, there's more to this story than meets the eye. The applicant was comfortable with 6,000 square feet along U.S. 1 to engage in educational programs, has offices. Now, I don't know about you, but 6,000 square feet is a heck of a building. That's a very large area. Now we're going to propose to take 6,000 square feet, add it to 9, and move it up into a hammock. Among other things, if you believe that that creates a hardship because there's precedent how to use the South Florida Water Management District standard, well, the case law in this state and indeed a case in this county says the opposite. You cannot use previous variances as precedent. And the reason that is the case, and I can cite to that case if you'd like me to do so, but the reason that is the case is because variances are unique, they address peculiar, unique, exceptional circumstances. Every property in this county and city is unique in every respect. They then would have you believe that the hammock is somehow
unique and therefore creates a problem to them. That's not the way these variances work. You take the property in its entirety, the property, and that's what they're talking about here, they're talking about an almost 8-and-a-half-acre site which already has substantial development on it.

Now, let me ask you something, ladies and gentlemen. Do you really believe that it takes a 15,000-square-foot building to propagate coral and have classrooms and a lab? The scale of that is difficult to understand. It's hard for me to describe the scale, but I think I can do that pretty easily. By the way, that is going to be, I think they said, close to the maximum height. You know, of course, that there's a 7 foot BFE level, so they're going to be starting at 7 feet, building up from there, and there's some indication there may be two stories. I don't know. That's for the applicant to tell you. But the truth of the matter is you will have a structure that is, as I said, over 15,000 square feet. It's a warehouse, by the way. This is not a home, it's not a church, it's not a hospital. This building itself is smaller than that building they're proposing. This building here is smaller in size. To get the scale, why would you need a building of this size in a hammock, on a single road,
one-way each way? How do you fit the parking? How do you fit the loading dock? This is just too much. We're trying to shoehorn in something that not only does not belong there, it doesn't fit there.

Now, the suggestion that somehow it's okay to use the South Florida Water Management District standards, it makes me wonder, why do we have an ordinance that says 50 feet, why don't we just eliminate the ordinance and just go with South Florida Water Management District?

And keep in mind, the things that were varied are not privately-owned. I think one was a hospital. Well, I can understand that you're going to relocate a hospital if there's no other way to build it. But the applicant will tell you if you ask him that he can put together the project. What he can't do is he can't sell marine life that he's collected and ship it out around the world. He cannot do that if he says he's going to do all the rest. And so I'm going to ask you again, think it through, use logic, do you really think that 15,000-square-foot building, larger than where we are standing, I'm standing and you're sitting, is necessary to put it in a hammock and to take 6,000 square feet and move it into a hammock from an area that, by the way, they had requested and they were
comfortable with, it was adequate, what changed in two years? Why do the neighbors need to deal with this issue?

Let me add something else that I think is important. This is an environmentally sensitive area. They would have you believe that this is the least environmentally sensitive. You need to use common sense here. Don't, don't allow your common sense to be blurred by a community statement, that the community needs this. The community needs a lot of things, but what they need more than anything is the environment that brought us all here, an environmentally sensitive area. We are the gem of the United States. You've heard it in Congress. They have said we are probably the most valuable resource in the continental United States because we have the coral reef, because we have unique flora and fauna. Among other things, we don't have the site plan here, they're proposing to put a boardwalk over a wetland and over and through mangrove. Well, I'm not sure why maybe somebody wants to walk over a wetland, but clearly there's no way that can be permitted. You cannot put an improvement, you can't add, I guess they're going to put it on some columns or some sort of fixtures in the wetland. So none of this project is really viable. And the parking I'm going to
leave to, there are others who can speak more to that issue. But the fact of the matter is if you, again, if you consider this, you will be in a position where you will countenance a situation where we're taking land from an area, I say land, 6,000 square feet of improvements along U.S. 1 and we're going to move it up U.S. 1 and we're going to move it back into a hammock. Ladies and gentlemen, the standard here, the cases are crystal clear, there's not a single case I'm aware of that allows you in a hardship variance criteria to approve this application. And it's very simple. The property can be put to a viable economic use because it is, it's already being put to that use. Now, if you deny this variance what will happen is the applicant will be back where they started, they'll have their 6,000-square-foot I guess classrooms, laboratory, and all. 6,000 square feet. They'll have that. It's not going away if you deny this.

Secondly, is the property economically viable? I don't know why it became unviable in two years, because that's what this Board did, I don't know if this Board did but the City did that, allowed them to modify their project, actually, remove some affordable housing from the site.

So I would say to you, ladies and gentlemen, and
others will address the other impacts, the personal impacts, the view corridor, et cetera, but I can tell you that your own ordinance says in it specifically, if you saw the depiction of it on the screen, it requires you to find this a hardship, it requires you to find that no viable economic use can be put to that 9, they say 9, the fact is it's 8.3 according to their own surveyor, by the way, you'll see part of the packet that the survey says 8.3, so there is so much here not just uncertain but in conflict internally that $I$ don't see how you could in good faith grant this variance. If the owner, if the applicant wants to come back and maybe sharpen their pencil and make more sense of this, that's a different, that's another issue, that's not for me to say, but what $I$ am concerned about is that people who live here, they moved here because of the beautiful environment, and they're going to have an enormous structure, they're going to be looking out their window, the folks who moved here, those condominiums, they did not expect to see this, and the reason they didn't expect to see it is it's in a hammock. It's an environmentally sensitive area. So the question comes down to this, and I think, I think Mr. Leonard asked the question, can you do, can your project be viable without this, and they're telling
you, well, no, I'll have to reduce the size of it. By the way, I will tell you, I don't think anything should go there, and I'll tell you why, because the 6,000 square feet is already in the books, it's already in a scarified area, there's no need to move that up to a more sensitive area, but the decision is made, the 6,000 square feet has been made available to them, but I don't think that you should allow 15,000 square feet, I don't think you should allow 6,000 square feet there because there's already a place for it, I'm asking you to consider your duty to enforce the regulations as you see them based upon the facts, and I'll ask you one final question. Do you really believe that this is in the nature of a hardship as that term is defined in your own records? And let me, let me say that there is a definition, by the way, of a hardship in your code. It does discuss what a hardship is, and it's very clear. It talks about specifically, once again, you have to demonstrate that there is no use for the property without this, and number two, that it'll have no impact on your neighbors, and how could you say that a warehouse of that magnitude in a hammock maybe 300 feet from a residential subdivision is not going to have a negative impact?
any questions if you would like.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.
MR. MULICK: Thank you.

MR. VALDES: Good evening again. Bart Valdes, individually and on behalf of Heidi Valdes.

I wanted to, as I promised when I first came up, I'll go ahead and pass out the report that we just got today.

MR. SMITH: I will object. The requirements of the code are that they be provided to the staff and to the applicant at least two days prior to the hearing. MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. I was going to say, you're going to at a minimum take a vote as to whether to accept a last-minute handout or not, as Mr. Smith is correct, it should be submitted to the Commission so you have time to review it prior to the hearing and staff has time to review it prior to the hearing, as well, so I think you at least at a minimum need to take a vote as to whether to accept it or not, and you're perfectly within your right if you do not. So that's fine.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Any further advisement on that? MR. WILLIAMS: I haven't seen it. I have no idea what it contains or what it purports to. I have no idea why we're getting into this last minute. We had
motions to continue that were filed timely, but we have not seen whatever Mr. Valdes possesses, so you're within your rights to vote as you see fit, but I think at a minimum we need a vote whether to accept it or not.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Any comments?

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: I have a motion. My motion is we reject the proposal as presented by Mr. Valdes. COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Second.

MR. VALDES: I'd like to be heard as to why it's coming in now.

MR. WILLIAMS: It's untimely, sir.
MS. MULLINS: All right. Matt Sexton?

COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Yes.
MS. MULLINS: Susan Klock?

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Mike Leonard?
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Lynn Landry?
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes.

MR. VALDES: All right. So if $I$ understand what you just said, you're not going to accept this into the record as evidence? Is that what I understood?

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes, sir. That would be

MR. VALDES: That's fine. What we'll go ahead and do is I'll mark it as Exhibit 1 and I'll hand it to the Clerk later on, I think that's fair, to be included in the record, as I'm seeing the other Bart over there saying yes, so we'll do that.

But essentially the point of all of this is you've got to look at the use. The first variance is for a conditional use, and if you look at the application it's very clear what they're asking for. It's a 15,200-square-foot warehouse for light industrial. That's the use that's being proposed here. And the applicant is Dynasty Marine, and if you have any question about what the applicant's going to use this warehouse for, this light industrial warehouse, because you heard him say other wildlife, and what the applicant is proposing essentially is to have this warehouse to be used to harvest all sorts of different tropical fish and other fish and grow those fish and then sell them all over the world, that's the stated purpose of Dynasty Marine, to effectively serve Aquarium's customers by discovering, acquiring, and acclimating difficult-to-capture marine life of all sizes for public display. And that's critical because Dynasty Marine claims they have this large tractor-trailer that they're going to drive down the
very small lane that you see in the packet. It's essentially an 18-wheeler that they plan to drive down there. There's no ability for it to turn around, it's a very narrow road, and it would essentially block that road not only while it's backing, not only while it's turning, but it would create a situation where it would be impossible for emergency vehicles or traffic coming in and out of the Seawatch development to actually get there. The applicant doesn't address that at all. In fact, the applicant leaves out of its application that Seawatch is even to the north of the property. If you look in the application, they talk about how their property is bounded by other areas but they don't mention the residential area there.

If you look at the plan, they only have seven parking spaces, so what are they going to do? They want to use the parking on the front. Well, the plans themselves don't have any connectivity. They have the truncated area that they claim is going to be a walkway over the wetland, but there'll be people or cars going up and down the street or cars parking along the street.

The stormwater. Look on the concept plan. There is absolutely nowhere for any stormwater holding, retainage, or anything like that on the property, and
the reality is that it would be extremely difficult to deal with the stormwater, and there's certainly nothing on the concept plan.

Essentially the 15,000-square-foot building, just as Mr. Mulick described, if we took this whole City Hall building and put it in the hammock, well, look how much parking is outside, look how much stormwater runoff is outside, there is none of that in the concept plan that is before you today for this 15,000-square-foot warehouse. It simply does not exist.

They're relying upon a traffic study that was done in 2005, that's the traffic study listed in the application, and that has not been updated, that has not been put in there, and there is no study as to the ability for vehicles to turn or anything else like that.

The area on the City's maps is actually in an area designated as City Proposed Conservation Acquisition Map as an area that the City would like to acquire. So there's no doubt that this is an environmentally sensitive area. There's also, if you look at the original deed to this property included in the application plus their updated maps you can see because of sea level rise how much the mean high water line has
already changed and gotten so much closer to the upland.

So again, what they want to do here is simply build a bigger building for commercial purposes. They already have the entitlement under the prior conditional use to 6,000 square foot, but they want to put a 15,200-square-foot industrial warehouse for commercial uses, and it's simply not suitable for the size and they haven't taken into consideration the other factors.

We ask that this Board be very deliberate and this Commission be very deliberate and look at these issues very carefully and serve as that (inaudible)-keeping model that it's supposed to be, because the reality of the situation is that the case law in Florida is incredibly clear, and not only that, but your own code here in Marathon defines hardship, and it's defined as the exceptional difficulty associated with the land. I'd like more time.

MR. WILLIAMS: If he would like a little extra, it's up to your discretion.

MR. VALDES: It's defined as the exceptional difficulty associated with land that would result from a failure to grant a requested variance. The community requires that the variance is exceptional, unusual, and
peculiar to the property involved. Mere economic or financial hardship alone is not exceptionable. Inconvenience, aesthetic considerations, physical handicaps, personal preferences, or the disapproval of one's neighbors can likewise not as a rule qualify as exceptional hardship. All of these problems can be resolved through other means without granting a variance if the alternative is more expensive or requires the property owner to build elsewhere or put the parcel to a different use than originally intended. In other words, if this warehouse can be built anywhere else, if the property can be used, and it is already being used, then you must deny the variance.

The key cases, and I'll just cite a couple of them to you, are the Town of Indialantic v. Nance case. That case was a hardship variance. A person was seeking to build a hotel, and here's what the court did. What the court said is, "Nance's only basis for the hardship allegation is that it would not be economically feasible to build a motel. He could build a motel on his two lots, but the building wouldn't as aesthetically pleasing." Just like what your code says. "A hardship may not be found unless no reasonable use (in this case, for a motel) can be made of the property." They're already using this property
for the aquarium. They can even put something else on the back of it if they meet all the standards, but the requirement under the law is that no reasonable use can be made.

Same thing with the Herrera v. City of Miami case, which dealt with whether or not you can have a reasonable use of the property and unless there's no reasonable use, then it's not suitable.

The Town of Ponce Inlet v. Rancourt says essentially that if the only hardship is mere economic disadvantage that was self-created -- remember, this applicant came back years ago to put this property in its current configuration, if they wanted a different configuration they could have done it at that point -what they're saying now is it's a mere economic disadvantage for them not to be able to build this 15,200-square-foot building, that's what the applicant was saying when he said we'll have to start cutting things away, and the courts have consistently said that the argument fails because the hardship was one of mere economic disadvantage and it was self-created.

Lastly is the Auerbach v. City of Miami case, and this case is critically important as you consider, because what this case said is that when the original plans for development did not include a certain larger
structure and the project was able to go forward without that larger structure, then you can't possibly prove hardship later on.

So I ask this Commission to consider the law of the State of Florida, consider the application, consider the deficiencies in the application, consider the environmental aspects, consider the planning aspects, the access aspect, and deny the variance.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Mr. Valdes, would you mind giving those citations to the court reporter?

MR. VALDES: I will give her all of the cases, and if you'd like to see the cases, I've got copies as well.

MR. WILLIAMS: And if there's no questions from the Council, $I$ think Lorie does have a stack of speakers.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Is it possible to ask Mr. Smith a follow-up question before we go to new speakers?

MR. SMITH: I am always available.
COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Mr. Smith, do you happen to know if the manatee rescue and rehabilitation project and/or the coral restoration will be inside the warehouse or are they going to be outside the warehouse?

MR. SMITH: My understanding is that they are all going to be inside of the warehouse. And there's a lot of misstatements that were made during those two presentations that I'll address after all of the public comment.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: That's fine. Those are the only questions I had. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.

Public speakers, Ms. Lorie?
MS. MULLINS: Daniel Samess.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: All right. Good evening, Commissioners.

MR. WILLIAMS: State your name for the record, please.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Daniel Samess, representing the Greater Marathon Chamber of Commerce.

So I'm here representing the Chamber, of course. My board of directors voted to support this project, who represent over 540 businesses in the middle of the Florida Keys but primarily right here in Marathon. The board really likes the project for obvious reasons. I think you heard a lot of them this evening. And I think also the board made this decision, you know, basing it on the owner, you know, Mr. Daughtry, and his track record. If you've seen what he's done taking a
barren piece of land and developing it into, you know, a world class attraction. And not only that. Environmentally, too. The board is very impressed with the, if you've just been to his facility before and then after, seeing the mangroves, seeing the enhanced or renewed water flow in there, seeing wildlife actually swimming in there, it is extremely impressive, so we feel confident that he'll do the same, you know, with the proposed project behind the Aquarium Encounters. And I think when you all, meaning Mr. Leonard and you all, pressed the previous applicant regarding the Circle $K$ with alternative green energies, this is kind of that. Restoring our coral, saving manatees, I mean, what better assets, specifically the coral restoration, do we have here? So I would also ask you to use your common sense and logic. Is this good for our environment? We think it is. It's obviously good for business, and that's okay too. Understand it's a small island and there's Mixed Use properties on the front of U.S. 1 and right behind it residential most every street. A number of people here that I know live right behind a commercial business. It's just kind of where we live in a limited space here.

I think in addition to that, you know, you're,
you're investing, again, in the applicant, and that does mean a lot because we've had developers in the past say one thing and do another, and it's our experience that Mr. Daughtry, what he's said, he has done specifically.

So with that once again we ask for your support. Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Thank you.
MS. MULLINS: Susan Tatgenhorst.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you for your time. As our Planning Commissioners, your decision to approve building requests and allow Marathon to grow is probably very cut-and-dried, but tonight your decision will affect $50-\mathrm{pl}$ us homes and buildings, a roadway serving these homes, all potentially to be flooded at certain times of the year, not to mention a live wetland teeming with birdlife. These are all located on the east side of Marathon behind the Aquarium Encounters building. My husband and I have been Marathon residents for over 35 years. I would like to mention the wetlands and birds behind the aquarium that do not have a voice and rely on you and us to decide their fate. Frankly, I'm a bit shocked. I always thought this is what you and all of us who love the Keys will do for them. Our
neighbors and my family have viewed endangered birds that come to these wetlands, either migrating or as their home. We have observed little blue heron, reddish egret, roseate spoonbill, and more, all of whom are listed on Florida's Endangered and Threatened Species List, and many other birds enjoying what they believe is their home. Before seeing the spoonbills we had not seen spoonbills for over 20 years and thought we never would see them again in the wild or in the Keys, and never in Marathon. They have arrived each year since. Wetlands are treasured wherever they are found, and we need to start preserving them. These wetlands are the first view our visitors and we see as you approach Marathon on U.S. 1 welcoming everyone to our island. I remember when U.S. 1 was two lanes with dirt on either side of the road. Now as we drive through Marathon we see buildings, homes, asphalt, concrete, residue of man's progress, and our residential and visitor population increases dramatically each year. We have many empty, run-down buildings and homes on our island that this Commission and our City Council should be directing businesses to rehab first before destroying the natural beauty all of us cherish that Marathon holds.
up and over the aquarium's lane in areas which is our only access to our community of 50 -plus homes and buildings and they cover the entire property of the 1-acre proposed site and the 8 -acre wetland parcel to the back of the Aquarium Encounter building you are voting on tonight. The wetlands --

Can I, two more paragraphs? CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes, ma'am. Finish up. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. The wetlands over-extend, flooding the lane and our gatehouse-surrounding area and wooded area in front of our first building of 16 homes when king tides occur. These areas will not be able to handle or manage the rainwater runoff from this building or any other structures from this proposed site. The lane to our community first building of homes, gatehouse, and possibly one lift station to our sewer system will be flooded during the rainy season and king tides if you decide tonight to allow them to build. We have witnessed this firsthand. My husband and I have lived at Seawatch, were owners there, for almost 25 years and we observed this flooding every single year.

I thank you for your time. My hope is you take the time to reflect on these very important issues before you vote. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.

MS. MULLINS: Brian Bolton.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Planning Board members, my name is Brian Bolton. I'm a full-time resident of Seawatch, 7203 Gulf of Mexico Boulevard. Thanks for hearing my position on this variance and conditional use request by Florida Keys Animal Encounters, LLC. This is in addition to the letter $I$ already sent in.

As on your website, the Planning Board's mission is to improve the quality of life for the citizens of the City of Marathon and visitors while balancing economic development with preservation and conversation of natural resources and sound fiscal policy. This variance request if approved will enable a small local business to put a large industrial warehouse right on top of and next to wetlands, wildlife habitat, and many local residents' homes. This will have a permanent negative impact on the environment, wildlife, residents, and I feel business itself in the City of Marathon.

This particular parcel is Mixed Use. According to your own definitions in this packet on page 32 describing Mixed Use, the word warehouse is never mentioned. If you go to Section 103.09 of the City's zoning code for Mixed Use, again, no warehouse is
mentioned, but Section 103.08, Industrial, is mentioning a warehouse. We know the applicant wants to use this as a commercial warehouse with a high activity 24/7 use. That is not part of Mixed Use, that definition, as far as $I$ can tell. I'm not a lawyer. They did compare it to another structure on the property, the boat barn. They say it's a warehouse. That's not a warehouse. It's a private owner's garage to park your boats in that's maybe accessed once or twice a week. That's it. There's no $24 / 7$ operation, no pumps, no noise, no lights, no nothing, and it's within 150 feet of anyone's house. This particular structure they're proposing will be within 55 feet of people's bedroom windows. By the way, Marathon does have many other areas that have warehouses that are zoned Industrial. There's no reason this business couldn't be put there instead of where they're proposing.

So please, let's have the industry grow in
locations where industry belongs in Marathon. I urge you to deny this request. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you. MS. MULLINS: Michael Echevarria. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name is Michael Echevarria. I'm the president of Reef Renewal

USA. We are a $501(c)(3)$ not-for-profit that's formed to do coral reef restoration up and down the Florida Keys. We've been privileged to work with Aquarium Encounters and Dynasty Marine as our stakeholders in the Marathon area. As you all probably know, Sombrero Reef is one of the seven iconic reefs and sanctuaries declared as getting restoration focus. We have two grants starting in June to be able to restore both staghorn, elkhorn, and brain corals that have been decimated over the years.

The partnership that we've developed with the collaborators of the Aquarium Encounters and Dynasty does, in fact, require local volunteerism, and they have been our volunteers so far. They've been doing work for the last year as commercial businesses have been involved here on our project. We intend to have 40 to 50 volunteers, divers and non-divers, to be able to do work for us. I've been to their facility several times, and it's important to realize that the staging of these corals, as Mr. Daughtry was talking about, is very important, so we do our work offshore, but we've got to bring them back in to do various things, specifically boulder and stony corals need to be cut up, stored, housed, moved around, brought in from storms, if
there's any kind of disease issues we've got to bring them in, so it's a very important component, and we're very pleased to have both Dynasty Marine and Aquarium Encounters as our local stakeholders partners in this project to help restore the Florida Keys reef track. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.
MS. MULLINS: Hiram Collazo.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name is Hiram Collazo, and I've been the proud owner of 7104 Gulf of Mexico Boulevard for the past five years and I'm here to affirm my objection and denial of this project.

And yes, I definitely agree with what you said earlier, what you stated, that here in the City of Marathon we focus on curb appeal. Curb appeal? What kind of a curb appeal a 15,000-square-feet warehouse would have? Totally agree with you. That's a big warehouse. There's no way to give a curb appeal to a warehouse like that.

Second of all, I truly agree with what the gentleman here mentioned earlier. The setbacks, 25 feet, 75 feet, a hundred feet? In case of a fire a 15,000 square feet will devour the building next door immediately, it will completely devour it, not to say the cars, the vehicles that are going to be adjacent at

25 feet. I totally disagree with that. It will burn our building next door, especially me. I'm there. I'm right next door.

Besides the wetlands. I fully agree. Detrimental to the wetlands. When I first came to this country I say I want to stay in the Keys. Now we commercializing so much, so what's going to happen? Soon I'll be sleeping in a warehouse. Besides saying the fire department, I looked briefly at the preliminary drawings, and I didn't see a turnaround for the fire department, I didn't see a special road. I worry about the pumps for the sewers there, I worry about those pumps running all night making noise. I worry about a lot of things. Lighting, it's going to more require lighting that's going to bother the neighbors next door. So close to Seawatch that it present a clear and present danger in every way, to the wetlands, to the fire, curb appeal. I don't see why they want to do it so big in the back.

That's all I got to say, and I strongly oppose this project. Thank you.

MS. MULLINS: Richard, I can't read the last name, sweet-something.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes, sir.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. Because I couldn't hear anyone that's talked through this mic yet, so.

I'm Richard Sweetnam, from 8201 Gulf of Mexico Boulevard, Seawatch.

I've been here nine years in October, and I'm more concerned not only with the destruction of the habitat and the flora and fauna, but I'm more concerned about the freight dock. A freight dock I am well familiar with. I was a golf pro from Denver, and in the wintertime $I$ worked for all of the freight docks, learned how to drive the trucks, and did everything there was to do. That was just to keep myself healthy for the next golf year. The freight docks are so filthy. They are just miserably filthy. They're dusty, you have forklifts on them to lift freight, now it's going to be animals, there's accidents that happen. You're going to have the dirty freight docks and then you're going to have the accidents with the animals, and the dust and dirt $I$ don't think mix with fish and wildlife, and especially manatees. By the way, I watered mine just last week.

So I think what should be done, since you talked about the electric charging stations, why not have, if you do get this proposal through, make sure that the equipment that's being used is electrical equipment,
such as even the trucks coming into Marathon, if you go with Cummins they're working on an electric vehicle now. I know there's plenty of electric forklifts. Just make sure that if you do push this proposal through that you make these people use the electric equipment. And since you want to put in the charging stations, it'll all mix together.

But I am against the proposal because the view, the wildlife, the fauna, you're going to destroy an awful good view that we have in our area, and we don't want to look down on buildings, we don't want to hear the loud noises, we already have loud noises from parties that we hear, and when you have these trucks coming in, it's going to destroy the traffic around Seawatch too. I mean, it's only one little road down there, and you get a truck that takes a wrong turn, try and turn that truck around one time. So those are my problems that I have with it, and I think my time is up, but I do thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you very much.
MS. MULLINS: Charlotte Quinn.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. I'm Charlotte Quinn. I'm the CEO for the Crane Point Museum and Nature Center.

I have been partnered with the Aquarium Encounters
since I took over Crane Point seven years ago. I've had nothing but respect for the operation that they run and for the community help that they give us. They don't make any money when they come over to try to assist me because a well doesn't work or I've got fish that aren't well. They come, they help me, I've never, ever had an issue with that.

I believe that they are good stewards of the land and of the sea. Coral restoration is something that we need. We know we need that. We also need attractions here in Marathon. We want people to stop. They need Crane Point, they need the Aquarium Encounters. Children need education. Education of our youth, it's number one with me, and for them to offer free education for children, for students, for field trips, to me is a wonderful thing and a win-win for all of us. They are very community oriented. They do a lot more than people realize. They just don't blow their horn about it. They just keep quiet and help if needed. I've been to Aquarium Encounters and I've been to Dynasty many, many times. The pumps over at Dynasty are the same pumps that I have at Crane Point. They're like a pool pump. They don't make noise, they don't run all night. They run as the water needs to be circulated.

Anyway, I appreciate you listening to me. I concur with everything that Daniel Samess said, and Crane Point supports this as well as our executive board. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.

MS. MULLINS: Bob Rehbock.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Bob Rehbock, and I've been a resident here in Marathon for the past 38 years.

I'd like to ask you to consider what the east end of Marathon will look like with a 30,000-square-foot building. That's 15,000 footprint, two stories high. That's the equivalent of almost six -- excuse me, of ten Marathon homes.

What we're talking about here is really a disaster. It would be a holocaust for all of the birds and wildlife that comes to the wetlands every year. They come here to breed and to survive. That will be gone with any development over the wetlands.

Further, I'd like you to consider the construction that this destructive manner and tasteless building will do to the neighborhood. A two-story, 40- to 50-foot-high building will be seen from the highway and will not be something that would be to the benefit of Marathon. I think we're going to end up if that happens looking like Scranton, Pennsylvania.

Also, I'd like you to consider that the approval of the variance could truly be called an ecological disaster. And let's call Dynasty Marine what it is. It's actually not an educational facility, but rather a commercial enterprise that imports and exports fish.

In closing just let me add that, a quote from the current variance that says, "The granting of the variance neither increases or decreases the danger to life on the property," end of quote. Well, I'm afraid that granting this variance would decrease the life of the existing living birds that are there now. I ask you to say no to the approval of this variance.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you, sir.
MS. MULLINS: Sylvia Eriksen.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Sylvia Eriksen. I live at the marina part of Seawatch behind, behind the building. I'm not a very good public speaker, so I'm just going to read a little page $I$ wrote here.

My concerns for our hammock and wetlands: These are to be preserved, not to be destroyed. These areas are home and feeding areas for our local bird families, which are a lot of different species, also some migrating birds. That is their sanctuary. It is their quiet, peaceful, and safe place for them all to nest,
rest, and eat. Also an occasional raccoon will be seen washing his hands, taking his food, to make sure his hands are clean. Can you imagine all we could lose with the construction trucks, human traffic, all in and out, coming and going past that sanctuary on a daily basis? Their homes would be destructive and would be devastated. All the wildife. If their hammock and wetlands were disturbed or destroyed they would leave, and possibly several would die.

My last comment is this. The access road is barely wide enough for two cars to pass safely as you're going into the Seawatch area and where we live behind the gate. If ingress and egress where their proposed area there is to go in, there is no way that it could ever happen. It would be totally blocked. And not only that, us going in and out of our homes and big trucks coming in and out could possibly end up disastrous with young people going in and out and old people -- older people, I don't want to say old, I didn't mean that, older people going in and out, as retirees it's just a dangerous situation because the road is very narrow, and if you've never really driven down there, drive down there sometime. The wetland there and the hammock there is absolutely beautiful and there's all kinds of bird and wildlife that $g o$ in and
out there. And our road is very narrow. And I don't know how much they have on the other side where they could even widen the road. They'd have to take all the fence out, and it just would, it just would not be good.

Thank you very much.
MS. MULLINS: Julie Joyce-Rehbock.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening, Commissioners. I appreciate this opportunity to share my concerns regarding the request for this variance. My name is Julie Joyce-Rehbock, and I live at 8402 Gulf of Mexico Boulevard. I'm a local artist and a resident of Seawatch for the past 38 years.

In trying to find the best way to express my shock that a proposal for a variance where an enormous 15,000-square-foot industrial two-story concrete warehouse can be shoved only 55 feet away from one of Seawatch's condo buildings which houses 16 units and is home to some 20 residents that would forever be affected by the severe encroachment, that it is even being discussed for approval, I find it truly affects my soul.

Everything about this concept is disruptive. It feels unbalanced and not mindful. For the applicant to ask for so much from so many, all for gain, when it
will affect so many residents of Seawatch, not to mention the wildlife and local birds who frequent the wetlands, salt marshes, mangroves, and hammock, all in this quiet and peaceful piece of land. Did you know that in the fall sometimes hundreds of migrating birds rest and feed in those wetlands on their migration? Please protect them.

Seawatch is a tranquil residential community, 40 years old, where residents enjoy not only views of the ocean and gulf but also views of the serene and quiet wetlands, that special, undisturbed area where nature reigns.

Given the wishes of the applicant, there will be boardwalks over the wetlands, boats on Vaca Cut for their expeditions for specimens, all brought to this huge industrial warehouse where massive pumps will work 24/7 and other noisy equipment for their holding tanks, never again the sound of silence.

Many of the residents of the condo building will lose their sky space, their breezes, their views, and their peace. Instead they will face a wall of concrete only 55 feet from their windows where the security lights at night will shine into their homes for years to come instead of the darkness of the evening sky they enjoy now. How can that be balanced and mindful?

This variance is being sought because the applicant does not have enough dry land to build his huge industrial warehouse so he wants owners of Seawatch to alter their lives to accommodate him. Even residents not in the affected building will surely endure delays in entering and exiting Seawatch as refrigerator trucks and large delivery trucks will be using this narrow two-lane road where a hairpin turn is needed to reach the warehouse. I believe that anyone who comes to view this huge wetland area and the way Seawatch is situated in this protected and peaceful space surely will see that this is not the right place for an industrial building of this size that will create so many disturbances for so many and will disrupt the quiet and peaceful land.

I urge the Commissioners to look into their souls and vote no.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you. MS. MULLINS: Mike Puto. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Commissioners, just real quickly, this is a -MS. MULLINS: Mike, Mike, Mike, Mike raise your right hand. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh, I'm sorry.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Just real quickly, this is, this is a great project and it's going to be good for Marathon, it's going to be good for the community. And I worked very hard with them years ago when we used to play at the other end of the bridge there and you can see what they've done with the project that the aquarium is now, that was awesome, a mess, they cleaned it up, and all the cars that came out of there, planes, everything else, it was just amazing the stuff that was there. It used to be a marina, and now you got clear water, it's clean.

And, like I said, it's a great family, I've known them for a long time, being here all my life, and I just wanted to let you know that it's a great project and I think it's going to be awesome for Marathon. And they do good work, and $I$ think if there was any concerns they're going to work with everybody to make sure that the right thing gets done. It's not just let's go build and do something. They're good people, and I support them a hundred percent and I support this project.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you, Mike. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, sir. MS. MULLINS: No more speakers.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. We'll close the public
comment at this point.

Mr. Smith, did you --
MR. SMITH: Thank you. Bart Smith again, on behalf of the applicant, and I just want to go through and try to address some of the comments and statements that were made.

I think first, the applicant is Florida Keys Aquarium Encounters. You all know about him, you know the work he does. To state anyone else is the applicant is false. There's no evidence of it. That is the applicant. The applicant is applying for a research and lab. It's not a warehouse. Research and labs under the code Section 103.15 .1 are approved as a conditional use. We are here on an application for an amendment to a conditional use. You have to evaluate it under the criteria for an amendment to the conditional use. The evidence in the record shows that they meet the criteria for an amendment to the conditional use. No statements that were made constitute evidence that those criteria were not met.

There was a statement about clustering. Clustering is a requirement that when you clear hammock you clear only one area and you put all the development in that one area. In this instance you have an area, one area being cleared, it's all together. That is the
definition of clustering. In fact, in this instance the requirement is that for low-lying hammock, which has been shown, it's low-level hammock, or low-grade hammock, that you're allowed to clear 50 percent. They are clearing less than 50 percent to build a building whose footprint is 7,600 square feet. Not 15,200, not 30,000. 7,600 square feet. The total area that is cleared that will be utilized is under 22,000 square feet. So the fact of stating this is some monstrous facility is patently untrue, and the plans show that. So it meets the criteria for a conditional use, the footprint is within the footprint allowed, it is similar to buildings in the area, and there's no evidence that supports otherwise.

The idea that they're going to be exporting tropical fish, there's no evidence in the record of that.

There is no evidence and there's no com -- the evidence shows that it's concurrent with its traffic.

Stormwater. Typically you don't hear people talk about gigantic swales in the Florida Keys. We just don't have the space. They're injection wells. Of course they don't show up in large spaces on plans because we don't utilize swales at all. Such a large use of the land that injection wells are the typical
utilization or underground trench drain systems, all utilized fairly regularly and approved by South Florida Water Management.

Now, to get to the biggest germane issue is the variance. There was a lot of statements made about economic hardship and no economic viability and no economic use, so I scratched my head for a while because I swear I've read this code a couple times and I can't recall those words being in the code. So I went back to the code and I read the code, and I was right. The requirement that no economic use be, no other economic use, is not actually a requirement. So I'm going to go through all of these requirements and standards just so that we're all back on the same page.

So we're going back to Chapter 102, and Chapter 102.113 is the variance procedure. Section 102.113 Purpose and Intent. I'm not going to read it. I can represent there's no utilization of the word "Economic".

Section 102.114, Requirements for Application, nothing about those standards.

Section 102.115, Review and Approval Procedure, provides the approval procedure for the review. No economic requirements there.

The 102.116, Time Period for Hearing and Action,
that gives the time frames that these things have to be brought forward. Again, no economic requirements. Section 102.117, Effective Date of Action, provides when the effective date of the action has to be.

Section 102.118, Notice of Property Owners, obviously deals with who needs to be noticed. Section 102.119, Compliance with Noticing Requirements, again goes to the noticing. Section 102.120, Approval Standards. Okay. Here's the one. So Special Circumstances, and it provides, "Prior to approving an application for a variance the Planning Commission or Director shall find that all of the following apply to the property. Special Circumstances: Because of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or the shape of the specific piece of property." So in this case it's the shape of the property and it's special circumstances dealing with this property in the wetlands.

2, Hardships. "Other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the property and/or location or surroundings, the strict application of the regulation results in exceptional undue hardships upon the owner of the property."

Now we got to go to that word, "hardships". Of
course that was brought up and is a very important part. So go to the definition of hardships. Hardship's definition under the definitions says, "Exceptional difficulty associated with the land that results from a failure to grant the requested variance. The community requires that the variance is exceptional, unusual, and peculiar to the property involved." I'd put forth that we've provided evidence that it is exceptional, it is unusual and peculiar to the property involved.

Here's, here's the next sentence: "Mere economic or financial hardship alone is not exceptional." So there's the word "economic", but it's used in the sentence, "Mere economic or financial hardship alone is not exceptional." Here we described, we are trying to build a research lab for something that is exceptional, coral restoration, the protection of wildlife, for labs, for classrooms, and those are exceptional. It's beyond just an economic hardship that they can't do these without this variance. So therefore this is more than just an economic or financial hardship.

It goes on: "Inconvenience, aesthetic considerations, physical handicaps, personal preferences, or the disapproval of one's neighbor likewise cannot as a rule qualify as an exceptional
hardship." We are not stating that it's an inconvenience, aesthetic considerations, and physical handicaps. We're stating that without this this project and this research and lab cannot be created that provides for coral propagation and for manatee rehabilitation.

Finally, the last sentence of Hardship, "All of these problems can be resolved through other means without granting a variance even if the alternative is more expensive or requires the property owner to build elsewhere or put the parcel to a different use than originally intended." It's already being used as a research and lab. They're looking to utilize this research and a lab for a specific purpose, and this is the only way that it can be utilized. It is exceptional. It's exceptional what they're doing on this property, and we should find that it's an exceptional hardship if they can't develop this.

So, going back to the remaining parts of the requirements, C, No detriment: "The relief will not create a substantial detriment as determined by the Director to the public good." I don't think that's applicable.
"No special privileges. The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special
privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity." As I've stated, these privileges have been granted to others.

E, Use Authorized, "The variance will not authorize a use or activity which is not otherwise expressly authorized by the regulation governing the parcel of property." As I said, research and labs are a conditional use, meets the criteria.

And then it provides relevant factors, and the relevant factors are all questions that this Board is asked. "The Planning Commission or Director shall consider the following factors relevant to granting a variance: Physical characteristics of the proposed construction," we've looked at this, this is going to provide boats that can bring the coral out and in, it's a physical characteristic that would assist, "Whether the use of the property is dependent upon granting the variance," and it is, "Whether granting the variance increases or decreases the danger," it does not increase or decrease. It's neutral. There's no evidence otherwise.

Four, the Importance to the Community of the Services to be Provided if the Variance is Granted. These are all factors to be weighed, and this one, number 4, this is an incredibly important feature to
the community and it's primarily part of a
not-for-profit arm to try to get the community involved in realizing the restoration of the reef and how important that is to this community and all of the Florida Keys, and that factor alone should outweigh all others and provide for the granting of this variance. Five, the variance is towards the wetland. It's actually away from, it's a wetland, it's an internal wetland sand pack that we're talking about. It's not a variance that pushes anything closer to anyone out there's property. It's allowing the building to be pulled to be pulled back away from other properties. "The ability to safely access" -- and I say pull away. They are right up against the sand pack, so it's not as if we can move the building in a different direction. "The ability to safely access the property by regular and emergency vehicles if the variance is not granted." There were statements made about the ability to access the property. The Fire Marshal reviewed the plans. The Fire Marshal is comfortable with the plans for access for a fire truck. I think that should be satisfactory evidence that we meet the emergency standards.

And finally 7, "The cost of providing governmental services if the variance is or not granted," well, it
certainly wouldn't increase governmental services if it's not -- it could increase governmental services. I mean, the protection of the reefs, the protection of wildlife, those are typically provided by the government or subsidized by the government, and so I would put forward that this also should be a factor weighing in favor of granting the variance. There are other statements made as to pumps and lighting. There's evidence from the Director of the Crane Hammock that this is not the case, and the requirements require that the lighting utilize the lighting requirements under the code. So with that said, the evidence in the record is competent, substantial evidence that the amendment to the conditional use meets the code. We would request it be granted. And there's also competent, substantial evidence in the record that the variance meets the requirements of the code and that the special circumstances have been met and the undue hardship. I would request that you grant both and recommend approval for the amendment to the major conditional use and grant the variance. Thank you.

MR. MULICK: Mr. Chairman, I need to address you. I don't normally do this. I'm -MR. SMITH: I would object.

MR. MULICK: I know you don't want it heard.

He just said on the record that the size of this building was half. He said it was half, correct? Look at the design, look at the site plan. It's 15,000 square foot of floor area, of footprint.

MR. SMITH: No. The floor area is not --

MR. WILLIAMS: Both of you, please.
Before we go any further, Mr. Landry, do you want to accept some comments from Mr. Mulick before, although he's already put them forward, but would you like to acknowledge the comments he's made? And certainly we don't need input from the remaining members of the public at this point, either. So if you'd like Mr. Mulick to be able to have a brief rebuttal, it is not typical, but he's there.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes, sir.

MR. MULICK: Thank you, Chairman Landry. As I said, I don't normally do this. Please look at --

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Excuse me, sir. We're closed to public comments on it.

MR. MULICK: Okay. Well, just so you know, this is not what he said it is.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Wait. We've closed the public comments. I'm sorry.

MR. MULICK: You can't make a decision based upon
false information.

MR. WILLIAMS: Before you begin your deliberations I have a couple of questions if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: No. Not at all.

MR. WILLIAMS: The questions are for Mr. Shea.

Mr. Shea, what's your current job title?

MR SHEA: Planning Director.
MR. WILLIAMS: And how long have you held that position?

MR SHEA: Since December.

MR. WILLIAMS: Of?

MR SHEA: Of last year.
MR. WILLIAMS: And what was your previous position prior to becoming the Planning Director?

MR SHEA: Senior Planner.

MR. WILLIAMS: And how long did you hold that position?

MR SHEA: I don't know off the top of my head. I would say at least four years.

MR. WILLIAMS: How long have you been with the City of Marathon in total?

MR SHEA: Over seven years now.
MR. WILLIAMS: And what is your educational background?

MR SHEA: I have a Master's Degree in historic
preservation, and $I$ took courses in urban planning. I have a Bachelor's Degree in history with a minor in urban planning, and I have an Associate's Degree in architecture.

MR. WILLIAMS: And as part of your current position and your former positions are you required to maintain continuing education credits and --

MR SHEA: Yes. I have the AICP, which is the American Institute of Certified Planners, and I'm required to do 32 hours every two years for that, and then I also have the Certified Flood Plain Manager, as well.

MR. WILLIAMS: No further questions.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Do we have any questions for
staff?

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: I have another quick follow-up question for Mr. Smith.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Mr. Smith, the only thing that I think was mentioned by various community members that I don't think you addressed, they mentioned truck issues and ingress and egress of the one road, and one other member mentioned potential use of solar equipment, so if you could just address those two possible issues for the project?

MR. SMITH: Certainly. So ingress and egress, the fire, typically what you utilize is what's the largest vehicle that can access the site, and in this instance the largest vehicle that can access the site is a fire truck, and so a fire truck was able to safely maneuver down the roadway and safely able to maneuver into the parking area, maneuver, and leave the property, and so it meets the requirements for safety of the roadways to allow access to the property.

As to the solar itself, I know Mr. Daughtry does a lot of innovational aspects. I cannot recall for the life of me, is there solar involved in this project?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Do you want me to come up?

MR. SMITH: Yup.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Okay. So the allegations regarding 18-wheelers and refrigerated trucks --

MR. SMITH: As to the trucks that are needed to be utilized, you plan for the trucks that are going to utilize the space. You have to have the turn radius to do so in order to even bring the trucks in. I know for different animals you do need larger trucks, but that is not an often occasion. Mr. Daughtry can probably speak to that more.

MR. DAUGHTRY: So what, do you want to talk solar first, or do you want to talk --

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: We have already --
MR. DAUGHTRY: So from a solar standpoint, it's something that we'll certainly look into panel-wise. All of our equipment, just so that you guys know, are not big, massive pumps. They're literally three to three-and-a-half-horsepower pool pumps. That's what we have. They'll be indoors. We're not going to have noise pollution issues that are of concern, and I can understand that they would be a concern, but it is not an issue. The pumps that we currently use are adjustable pumps that allow us to maximize efficiencies, so already we're, we're big fans of that because we have to pay the electric bill, so believe me, we're looking for efficiencies where we can find them and we'll continue to do that with this building. As far as trucking goes, I'll say that we are going to have some semis through there, it's on average maybe 20 to 30 times a year, okay? We, we do transports for the large semis less than once every other week and they're gone for somewhere between three and seven days when we're transporting marine life to different aquariums that are for public display so that people can see all the beautiful animals that we have here in the Florida Keys and understand that the reefs and all the animals and all the different things that
we have, and this is something that we've done since the early '80s at Aquarium Encounters.

Since I'm up here, I do want to say that semi trucks have been making their way back and forth through that property for the last five to seven years as there's been massive spalling issues in that compound that you guys are probably aware of, and while those trucks have been coming by and while there's jackhammers day in and day out, those birds have stayed there, and ideally those birds will stay there through what we're talking about doing, which is less invasive than three years of jackhammering a concrete building. The, the elevated walkway is something that is permitted. It's permitted as part of normal use already in the code that we can do that, something similar to Anne's Beach, something similar to what's behind the property here. We want to do the same thing that we've done at Aquarium Encounters. We want to be part of the environment. If you've been there and walked through it, we're surrounded by mangroves, we're covered by mangroves, we've kept as many mangroves on that property as we possibly could while building out what we built out, and we believe that it's a win-win once again, kind of as Dan Samess said, both
economically, ecologically, and for the city and for
the 25 people that work there, $I$ think it's a win all the way around. We try to create that in anything we do.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Mr. Leonard?
COMMISSIONER LEONARD: I'm a capitalist, and I think any time a business is growing and it needs to expand, I think it's wonderful, especially at the same time when you keep track and protect the environment. However, I'm not convinced that a 50-foot setback is going to completely destroy what you want to do, especially since what $I$ heard tonight was comment after comment on the coral and everything you're doing regarding the coral but little if any comments on the manatees. In fact, the only comments we heard on the manatees were from you. So I need more education on why a 50-foot setback just takes this plan and moves it away.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Well, once again, in order to do anything that we're going to do back there if we have to cut that building's size in half or whatever it's going to be, it's going to cut our capabilities in half to do any of those things that we want to do potentially in that. Does that mean we do half the corals? Maybe not. Maybe it means we stay with corals and we stay away from manatees. Maybe, you know, we,
we will have to make the best decisions for our company at that time when we see what space we have to work with. But I can tell you that if, if I had more square footage I would do it. The variance that we're looking for specifically is away from Seawatch. I think there was a lot of misunderstanding with that initially based on social media comments. And the one reason $I$ can't say specifically what I'm doing with manatees is because I don't have my letter of authorization yet, but I am literally, as of today about four o'clock I'm talking to people that are in search and need of ways to house them and like I said, I'm going to find a temporary way to do that. If the need is now, my feeling is that I'll find a way to start helping in that situation without any building back there right now.

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Thank you. I feel your passion.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I have a question for you, Mr. Daughtry.

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Is the plan to not only do coral restoration and rehab manatee, but are you moving Dynasty Marine into that property?

MR. DAUGHTRY: We, we are using, moving Dynasty Marine into that property. Yes.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. It's just something that has not been said tonight.

MR. DAUGHTRY: I've talked about that, I feel like. But yes, Dynasty Marine will be moved to that property, and once again, we have, for 35 years we've used these animals in public display, hundreds of millions of people have seen them for educational value and understand what we have in the Caribbean and the Florida Keys in particular.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you.
MR. DAUGHTRY: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Anything else?
MR. WILLIAMS: I've got a question if we can resolve this factually so $I$ don't spend any time in appeals and so we're not bogged down by this. If, Brandon, can you throw Exhibit $C$ to the variance on the screen?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Do you want me to stay up here, or no?

MR. WILLIAMS: Please. Yeah. You may be able to answer this faster and better than anyone else and we'll clear it up for everyone in the room.

Sorry, Brandon. I gave you no notice. It's the
site plan. It's the drawing down by Overall Site Concept but the last page of tonight's packet. Skip to the variance. That looks like it. Thank you.

Okay. Looking at the top building, and I'm just trying to get the math clear in my head, it looks like the top side of the length of that building is 180 feet.

MR. DAUGHTRY: That's correct. MR. WILLIAMS: If you come down the left side, it says 100 feet.

MR. DAUGHTRY: That's correct. MR. WILLIAMS: I'm doing quick math. If I add two zeroes to 180 I 'm at 18,000 square feet, we back off the corner on the right-hand side and I assume that gets us to 15,200? MR. DAUGHTRY: That's correct. MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. I believe I heard Mr. Smith state that it was going to be a 7,600-square-foot footprint. Is either Mr. Smith's memory off a little bit, or is this not the current diagram?

MR. DAUGHTRY: This is the current diagram. This is correct.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. So, so I don't have a factual record issue later, this diagram is the one you want the Planning Commission to consider?

MR. DAUGHTRY: This is correct.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
MR. DAUGHTRY: You're welcome.

MR. MULICK: Chairman, since you've opened up
testimony, could I just respond? I'll take 30 seconds.

MR. WILLIAMS: Nick, I just helped you. Shh.
MR. MULICK: Well, there's another issue here. I can say it right now. Is it going to be a two-story? Yes or no? Is it or isn't it a two-story?

MR. WILLIAMS: You're free to ask that if you wish, Mr. Landry, or any member of the Planning Commission if they deem that question worthy of asking the applicant.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Right. Could you answer that for us, Mr. Daughtry?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. So, so certainly part of the building at least will be two stories. I can't say, I haven't gone through all of the, all of the site plan stuff because until we have this meeting and then we have the meeting with the council I didn't want to spend $\$ 150,000$ trying to figure out whether or not it made any sense, but it would be my intention to have at least part of the building has two stories, yes.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: The max height, I think it said here, would be 42 feet tall?

MR. DAUGHTRY: So what it said was that we would be under the max height, whatever, whatever's allowed, so I don't necessarily intend to make it 42 or 41.11, but we will be within, $I$ think the main, the main point is that we will be within whatever fire needs there are, whatever noise needs there are, whatever light needs there are, all of the, all of the things that we have to do to check the box, including stormwater runoff and those things, we're going to, we've agreed with the City that we will take care, do all of those things to whatever the code is as expected by anybody. MR SHEA: And just to add to that real quick, with a commercial structure there is the option to flood-proof so it does not have to meet the elevation. You can flood-proof within that area.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: So there is a possibility of a shorter building but flood-proof?

MR. DAUGHTRY: I'm not looking to build anything extra. Once again, the same thing, efficiencies when it comes to a business. You know, I don't want to put a bunch of extra square foot, I don't want to have to air-condition a bunch of extra square foot, so I'm going to be sensible when we go to do that, and, you know, at least four people up here spoke about their views as far as overlooking my property, and I am
sensitive to that, but I want to be able to build what we really need as well, so.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. DAUGHTRY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Mr. Garrett, if I may ask you a question? Swear him in. (Sworn.)

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I'm sorry, Brian, I'm not trying to bypass you. George has been here a little longer.

Just going back to South Florida Water Management District, can you give us some enlightenment on exactly why we're at 50 feet and they're at 25 when it seems that it would be better if we just were in line with them as a city?

MR. GARRETT: I've actually had that conversation a bit in the last day or two. What the applicant's attorney, Mr. Smith, has stated is correct. South Florida Water Management District does only require 25 feet, and his purpose for that $I$ think is stated accurately, as well. I think one of the things that should be noted about this if there's consideration to be had here is that there is, as I understand it, at least, going to be a physical barrier between essentially the development here and the wetlands, and I think that's important in defining a boundary between
the project and those wetlands for the sake of protection. I think clearly as I saw the County's code develop, and for the sake of everybody here, I've been here since '84 and I went through all of the process of developing the County's Comprehensive Plan, which we, frankly, inherited. It really was about protection of those resources nearby, wetlands in particular, and I think to the extent that precautions are made 25 feet is sufficient, and in this case as I understand it there's essentially going to be revetments between the two, so.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Thank you, sir.
MR. GARRETT: I guess probably the end answer to that, too, is we might actually consider changing the code the District's and DEP's set requirements.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: It would make it a little bit more seamless in the future.

MR. GARRETT: Yeah. It would.
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Any further questions?
MR. WILLIAMS: And we will need a separate vote. Although we heard them and considered them both tonight, we will need separate votes.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yeah. I have 2 is the variance, right?

MS. MULLINS: No. Number 2 is the conditional
use.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. So we should do the variance first, right? Or does it matter?

MS. MULLINS: It doesn't matter.
MR. WILLIAMS: One's a recommendation, and one is your vote.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. Let's do the variance first, Item 3. Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Motion. Motion to approve Item 3, the variance.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Second.

MS. MULLINS: Okay. Matt Sexton?
COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Susan Klock?

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Mike Leonard?

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Lynn Landry?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
MS. MULLINS: Okay. It's approved.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: What a joke.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I just have a question. Is the road going to Seawatch a City of Marathon road, public road, private road? How does that --

MR SHEA: It is not a City of Marathon road.

It's private, and there's an easement in place for Seawatch.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. So the applicant owns the road?

MR SHEA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: And he's given an easement to Seawatch?

MR SHEA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. The situation that it is, and we hear from everybody in the audience how small and narrow that road is and those of you who know me and my plight on widening roads in a particular part of Marathon, I'm kind of sensitive to this, is there room to widen that road to make it better accessible to everyone including the applicant? Sorry, Brian, to put you on the spot.

MR SHEA: It could be potentially possible. Staff would recommend widening it more on the western portion rather than the wetland portion.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. Could I ask the applicant a question? Sorry, Mr. Daughtry, I should have asked you when you were up here and it slipped my mind. MR. DAUGHTRY: I need to get some exercise. That's good.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Being that it is such a narrow
road, would you be opposed to widening that road or giving property to widen that road?

MR. DAUGHTRY: Yeah. No, I wouldn't be opposed to that. It might actually benefit some of the concerns that they, they have had, the Seawatch folks have had. There's some, there's some other opportunities where we can work together as well, and when I was speaking in the Technical Review Committee, there's some fire access issues into Indigo Reef that the fire department would like to see some help with and I've already voiced my concern, my ability to help with that. I'm happy to do that if Seawatch and Indigo Reef want to get together on that. I've spoke to a member of Seawatch about that as well. So, look, there's opportunities here for working together and win-wins. There's, there's some other issues with the Aqueduct. Seawatch has a 40-year-old steel pipe in the ground that's going to be a problem for them. So there's an opportunity there because we're going to need to put a water pipe in as well. So there's, there's some areas for, for out-branches.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. Well, I just think that that would be a big help to the community at large, especially at Seawatch with the traffic going back. MR. DAUGHTRY: Sure. So anywhere there's narrow
roads that you'd like widened?
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes. Yes, I would. Where there's room to do it, I would love to see it happen in this city.

MR. DAUGHTRY: I believe that there is, as well.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. Item 2.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Motion to approve. COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Second.

MR. WILLIAMS: And to be clear, is that including the Chairman's road widening as a condition, or is that just discussion and --

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: I would like to see it as a condition. I think that the widening of the road should be a condition.

MR. SMITH: Here's my only concern, is that west side is all wetlands, and so you could have the Army Corps or the DEP deny a permit, and so, I mean, if we put reasonable efforts and should a permit be denied it would not be required, I'm comfortable with it.

COMMISSIONER KLOCK: That would make sense.

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Mr. Attorney.
MR. WILLIAMS: So we'll make the language subject to application to the Corps for widening of the road and whether it's granted or denied, but it will not be
fatal to this particular use.
MS. MULLINS: So Matt Sexton?
COMMISSIONER SEXTON: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Susan Klock?
COMMISSIONER KLOCK: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Mike Leonard?

COMMISSIONER LEONARD: Yes.
MS. MULLINS: Lynn Landry?

CHAIRMAN LANDRY: Yes.

MS. MULLINS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SMITH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LANDRY: I would like to take a moment to thank everybody for coming out and voicing your opinion on this item. It is very imperative in this city that we have community input and people to come out and voice their opinions. So again, thank you very much, and as of that, this meeting is adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 8:00 p.m.)
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| ```77:13 electrical [1] - 54:25 elevated [2]-11:25, 78:13 elevation [1] - 84:14 eliminate [3]-19:5, 19:16, 30:8 elkhorn [1] - 51:9 elsewhere [2]-41:9, 69:11 emergency [3] - 38:7, 71:17, 71:22 empty [1] - 47:20 enable [1] - 49:14 Encounter [1]-48:5 Encounters [22] - 1:6, 1:8, 2:4, 2:16, 3:21, 6:12, 10:8, 10:17, 12:6, 20:16, 45:10, 46:19, 49:7, 51:4, 51:12, 52:4, 55:25, 56:12, 56:20, 64:8, 78:2, 78:18 encroachment \([1]\) - 60:20 end \([7]-4: 22,57: 9\), 57:24, 58:9, 59:17, 63:5, 86:13 Endangered [3] - 6:24, 26:12, 47:5 endangered [1] - 47:1 endure [1] - 62:6 energies [1] - 45:12 enforce [1] - 34:11 engage \([1]\) - 28:9 enhance [1] - 23:22 enhanced [1] - 45:5 enjoy [3] - 17:3, 61:9, 61:25 enjoying [1] - 47:6 enlightenment \([1]\) - 85:11 enormous [2] - 33:18, 60:15 entering [1] - 62:6 enterprise [1] - 58:5 entire [2] - 20:5, 48:3 entirety [1] - 29:3 entitled [1] - 92:9 Entitled [1] - 2:6 entitlement \([1]\) - 40:5 entity [1] - 4:6 environment \([8]\) - 7:25, 26:19, 31:11, 33:17, 45:17, 49:18, 78:19, 79:8 environmental [4] - 11:4, 11:21, 26:20, 43:7``` | ```Environmental [1] - 13:6 environmentally [6] -26:22, 31:5, 31:7, 31:12, 33:22, 39:21 Environmentally [1] -45:3 equipment [6] - 54:25, 55:6, 61:17, 75:24, 77:4 equivalent [1] - 57:12 Eriksen [2] - 58:15, 58:16 especially [5] - 53:2, 54:20, 79:7, 79:11, 89:24 ESQ[3]-1:18, 1:18, 1:19 essentially [7] - 37:6, 37:16, 38:2, 38:4, 42:10, 85:24, 86:10 Essentially [1] - 39:4 Estate [2]-2:14, 2:23 et [1] - 33:2 evaluate [1] - 64:15 evaluation [1] - 13:5 evening[15]-3:9, 3:25, 4:2, 4:8, 4:16, 26:10, 35:4, 44:11, 44:22, 46:10, 50:24, 52:9, 55:22, 60:8, 61:24 evidence [20] - 4:7, 14:3, 14:13, 14:25, 15:4, 36:23, 64:10, 64:17, 64:20, 65:14, 65:16, 65:18, 65:19, 68:8, 70:21, 71:22, 72:9, 72:13, 72:14, 72:17 evidencing[1] - 12:11 ex [1] - 3:2 exact [3]-16:14, 16:18, 20:6 exactly [1] - 85:11 example [1] - 16:14 examples [1] - 16:17 exceptionable [1] - 41:2 exceptional [18] - 28:23, 40:18, 40:22, 40:25, 41:6, 67:15, 67:21, 67:23,68:7, 68:9, 68:12, 68:15, 68:16, 68:18, 68:25, 69:16, 69:18``` | ```Exceptional [1] - 68:4 excuse [1] - 57:12 Excuse [1] - 73:19 executive[1] - 57:3 exercise[1]-88:23 Exhibit [2] - 37:2, 81:18 exist [1] - 39:11 existence [2] - 16:6, 16:11 existing [11] - 6:13, 7:5, 8:2, 8:8, 9:15, 10:15, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 27:14, 58:11 exists[1] - 17:13 exiting [1] - 62:6 expand [2]-10:16, 79:7 Expansion [1] - 2:7 expect [2] - 33:20, 33:21 expected [1] - 84:11 expeditions [1] - 61:15 expensive [2] - 41:8, 69:10 experience [1] - 46:4 expert [2]-4:23 explain [1]-18:17 explanatory [1] - 18:2 exporting [1] - 65:15 exports [1] - 58:5 express [2]-26:14, 60:14 expressly[1] - 70:6 extend [1] - 48:10 extent [1] - 86:8 extra [5] - 8:17, 40:20, 84:19, 84:21, 84:22 extraordinary[1] - 67:20 extremely [2] - 39:1, 45:7 eye [1] - 28:8```F <br> face $[1]-61: 21$ <br> facets $[1]-23: 15$ <br> facilities $[2]-23: 8$, <br> $25: 8$ <br> facility $[8]-10: 18$, <br> $22: 25,24: 10,24: 11$, <br> $45: 4,51: 19,58: 4$, <br> $65: 10$ <br> Facility $[1]-2: 9$ <br> fact $[7]-32: 2,33: 7$, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { filthy }[2]-54: 14 \\ & \text { final }[1]-34: 13 \\ & \text { Finally }[1]-69: 7 \\ & \text { finally }[1]-71: 24 \\ & \text { financial }[4]-41: 2, \\ & 68: 12,68: 14,68: 21 \\ & \text { fine }[3]-35: 21,37: 1, \\ & \text { 44:6 } \\ & \text { Finish }[1]-48: 8 \\ & \text { Fire }[2]-71: 19, \\ & 71: 20 \\ & \text { fire }[12]-8: 13,52: 22, \\ & 53: 8,53: 10,53: 18, \\ & 71: 21,76: 2,76: 4, \\ & 76: 5,84: 5,89: 8,89: 9 \\ & \text { first }[18]-4: 15,5: 17, \\ & 7: 3,10: 12,10: 21, \\ & 15: 10,21: 8,356, \\ & 37: 7,47: 13,47: 23, \\ & 48: 12,48: 16,53: 5, \\ & 64: 7,76: 25,87: 3, \\ & 87: 8 \\ & \text { First }[1]-16: 6 \\ & \text { firsthand }[1]-48: 20 \\ & \text { fiscal }[1]-49: 13 \\ & \text { Fish }[1]-6: 22 \\ & \text { fish }[7]-37: 18, \\ & 54: 20,56: 5,58: 5, \\ & 65: 16 \\ & \text { Fishermen's }[1]- \\ & 16: 15 \\ & \text { fit }[4]-30: 1,30: 2, \\ & 30: 4,36: 3 \\ & \text { five }[2]-52: 11,78: 5 \\ & \text { Five }[1]-71: 7 \\ & \text { fixtures }[1]-31: 24 \\ & \text { floating }[1]-18: 23 \\ & \text { flood }[3]-84: 14, \\ & 84: 15,84: 17 \\ & \text { Flood }[1]-75: 11 \\ & \text { flood-proof }[3]- \\ & 84: 14,84: 15,84: 17 \\ & \text { flooded }[2]-46: 16, \\ & 48: 18 \\ & \text { flooding }[2]-48: 10, \\ & 48: 22 \\ & \text { floor }[5]-12: 19, \\ & 12: 22,17: 15,73: 5, \\ & 73: 6 \\ & \text { flora }[2]-31: 17,54: 7 \\ & \text { FLORIDA }[1]-92: 3 \\ & \text { Florida }[42]-1: 3, \\ & \text { 1:6, } 1: 8,2: 3,2: 13, \\ & 2: 15,2: 23,3: 20,4: 17, \\ & 5: 9,7: 9,10: 8,10: 16, \\ & 13: 17,13: 18,16: 2, \\ & 16: 22,17: 7,17: 9, \\ & 17: 25,19: 25,22: 19, \\ & 25: 6,28: 16,30: 6, \\ & 30: 9,40: 15,43: 5, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:20, 49:7, 51:2, } \\ & 52: 5,64: 7,65: 21, \\ & 66: 2,71: 5,77: 24, \\ & 81: 11,85: 10,85: 18, \\ & 92: 6,92: 7 \\ & \text { Florida's }[1]-47: 5 \\ & \text { flow }[1]-45: 6 \\ & \text { focus }[2]-51: 7, \\ & 52: 15 \\ & \text { folks }[2]-33: 19, \\ & 89: 5 \\ & \text { follow }[3]-23: 19, \\ & \text { 43:18, } 75: 17 \\ & \text { follow-up }[2]-43: 18, \\ & 75: 17 \\ & \text { followed }[2]-2: 14, \\ & 2: 24 \\ & \text { following }[3]-9: 19, \\ & 67: 14,70: 12 \\ & \text { food }[1]-59: 2 \\ & \text { Foot }[1]-2: 10 \\ & \text { foot }[8]-8: 11,17: 23, \\ & 21: 11,29: 15,40: 6, \\ & 73: 5,84: 21,84: 22 \\ & \text { footage }[5]-6: 18, \\ & 9: 21,26: 25,27: 1, \\ & 80: 4 \\ & \text { footprint }[6]-57: 11, \\ & 65: 6,65: 12,73: 5, \\ & 82: 19 \\ & \text { forever }[1]-60: 19 \\ & \text { forklifts }[2]-54: 15, \\ & 55: 3 \\ & \text { form }[1]-3: 11 \\ & \text { formed }[1]-51: 1 \\ & \text { former }[1]-75: 6 \\ & \text { forth }[3]-25: 2,68: 8, \\ & 78: 4 \\ & \text { forward }[8]-5: 14, \\ & 6: 11,6: 17,10: 9,43: 1, \\ & 67: 2,72: 6,73: 10 \\ & \text { forwarded }[1]-4: 5 \\ & \text { Four }[1]-70: 22 \\ & \text { four }[5]-3: 1,23: 5, \\ & 74: 19,80: 10,84: 24 \\ & \text { FPR }[1]-92: 13 \\ & \text { fragging }[3]-23: 1, \\ & 23: 25,24: 14 \\ & \text { frames }[1]-67: 1 \\ & \text { framing }[1]-9: 6 \\ & \text { Frank }[3]-11: 5, \\ & 11: 6,13: 1 \\ & \text { frankly }[1]-86: 6 \\ & \text { Frankly }[1]-46: 23 \\ & \text { free }[2]-56: 14, \\ & 83: 10 \\ & \text { freight }[6]-54: 8, \\ & 54: 10,54: 13,54: 15, \\ & 54: 17 \\ & \text { frequent }[1]-61: 2 \end{aligned}$ | ```front [5]-23:5, 23:7, 38:17, 45:20, 48:11 frontrunner [1] - 24:22 full [1] - 49:4 full-time [1] - 49:4 fully [1] - 53:4 future [2] - 17:1, 86:17 Future [2]-5:25, 6:4 FWC [3]-22:21, 23:5, 24:20```G <br> gain $[1]-60: 25$ <br> garage $[1]-50: 8$ <br> GARRETT $[4]-1: 14$, <br> 85:15, 86:13, 86:18 <br> Garrett $[1]-85: 5$ <br> gate $[1]-59: 13$ <br> gatehouse $[2]-$ <br> 48:11, 48:16 <br> gatehouse- <br> surrounding $[1]-$ <br> 48:11 <br> gem $[1]-31: 13$ <br> gentleman $[1]-$ <br> $52: 21$ <br> gentlemen $[3]-29: 8$, <br> $32: 8,32: 25$ <br> GEORGE $[1]-1: 14$ <br> George $[1]-85: 9$ <br> germane $[3]-14: 22$, <br> $15: 20,66: 4$ <br> gigantic $[1]-65: 21$ <br> Given $[1]-61: 13$ <br> given $[1]-88: 6$ <br> glare $[1]-14: 20$ <br> golf $[2]-54: 9,54: 13$ <br> governing $[1]-70: 6$ <br> government $[3]-$ <br> $8: 17,72: 5$ <br> Government $[2]-$ <br> $2: 12,2: 21$ <br> governmental $[3]-$ <br> $71: 24,72: 1,72: 2$ <br> grade $[1]-65: 3$ <br> grant $[8]-15: 6,27: 3$, <br> $33: 11,40: 24,68: 5$, <br> $69: 25,72: 20,72: 22$ <br> granted $[10]-7: 23$, <br> $16: 18,17: 11,17: 20$, <br> $17: 21,70: 3,71: 18$, <br> $71: 25,72: 16,90: 25$ <br> Granted $[1]-70: 23$ <br> granting $[13]-7: 15$, <br> $7: 20,17: 6,41: 7,58: 7$, <br> $58: 10,69: 9,69: 24$, <br> $70: 12,70: 17,70: 18$, | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 6,72: 7 \\ & \text { grants }[1]-51: 8 \\ & \text { great }[6]-23: 12, \\ & 24: 1,24: 8,63: 2, \\ & 63: 12,63: 14 \\ & \text { Greater }[1]-44: 16 \\ & \text { green }[1]-45: 12 \\ & \text { gross }[1]-10: 25 \\ & \text { ground }[1]-89: 17 \\ & \text { group }[1]-4: 1 \\ & \text { grow }[3]-37: 18, \\ & 46: 13,50: 19 \\ & \text { growing }[2]-19: 25, \\ & 79: 6 \\ & \text { guess }[4]-4: 14, \\ & 31: 23,32: 16,86: 13 \\ & \text { guidance }[1]-22: 9 \\ & \text { Guides }[1]-6: 25 \\ & \text { Gulf }[5]-4: 19,49: 5, \\ & 52: 10,54: 3,60: 11 \\ & \text { gulf }[1]-61: 10 \\ & \text { guy }[1]-3: 23 \\ & \text { guys }[5]-20: 17, \\ & 22: 13,25: 22,77: 4, \\ & 78: 7 \end{aligned}$H <br> Habitat $[1]-12: 8$ <br> habitat $[4]-6: 23$, <br> 13:5, 49:16, 54:6 <br> hairpin $[1]-62: 8$ <br> half $[8]-21: 20$, <br> $27: 21,73: 3,77: 6$, <br> $79: 20,79: 21,79: 23$ <br> Hall $[2]-1: 2,39: 6$ <br> hammock $[25]-9: 7$, <br> 11:7, 11:8, 12:23, <br> 13:1, 13:2, 13:8, <br> 13:11, 28:14, 28:25, <br> 29:25, 30:23, 30:24, <br> $32: 7,33: 22,34: 22$, <br> $39: 6,58: 20,59: 7$, <br> $59: 24,61: 3,64: 22$, <br> $65: 2,65: 3,65: 4$ <br> Hammock $[1]-72: 10$ <br> Hammocks $[1]-$ <br> 26:13 <br> hand $[4]-5: 3,37: 2$, <br> $62: 23,82: 14$ <br> handicaps $[3]-41: 4$, <br> $68: 23,69: 3$ <br> handle $[1]-48: 13$ <br> handout $[1]-35: 14$ <br> hands $[2]-59: 2$, <br> 59:3 <br> happy $[1]-89: 12$ <br> hard $[2]-29: 11,63: 4$ <br> Hardship $[2]-17: 13$, <br> $69: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hardship }[23]- \\ & \text { 28:15, } 32: 10,33: 5 \text {, } \\ & 34: 14,34: 16,34: 17, \\ & \text { 40:17, 41:2, 41:6, } \\ & 41: 16,41: 19,41: 23, \\ & \text { 42:10, 42:20, 43:3, } \\ & 66: 6,68: 12,68: 14, \\ & 68: 19,68: 21,69: 1, \\ & \text { 69:18, } 72: 19 \\ & \text { Hardship's }[1]-68: 3 \\ & \text { Hardships }[1]- \\ & 67: 20 \\ & \text { hardships }[2]- \\ & 67: 24,68: 2 \\ & \text { hardships" }[1]- \\ & 67: 25 \\ & \text { harvest }[1]-37: 17 \\ & \text { head }[3]-66: 7, \\ & 74: 18,82: 5 \\ & \text { healthy }[1]-54: 12 \\ & \text { hear }[8]-4: 9,4: 14, \\ & 53: 24,54: 1,55: 11, \\ & 55: 13,65: 20,88: 10 \\ & \text { heard }[9]-31: 14, \\ & 36: 10,37: 15,44: 22, \\ & 73: 1,79: 11,79: 14, \\ & \text { 82:17, 86:21 } \\ & \text { Hearing }[1]-66: 25 \\ & \text { hearing }[7]-4: 1, \\ & 4: 20,22: 16,35: 11, \\ & 35: 16,35: 17,49: 5 \\ & \text { heck }[1]-28: 11 \\ & \text { Heidi }[2]-4: 17,35: 5 \\ & \text { Height }[1]-14: 6 \\ & \text { height }[4]-14: 7, \\ & 29: 14,83: 24,84: 2 \\ & \text { height's }[1]-14: 6 \\ & \text { held }[1]-74: 8 \\ & \text { Hello }[1]-5: 16 \\ & \text { help }[8]-25: 16, \\ & 52: 5,56: 3,56: 6, \\ & 56: 19,89: 10,89: 11, \\ & 89: 23 \\ & \text { helped }[1]-83: 6 \\ & \text { helping }[1]-80: 14 \\ & \text { hereby }[1]-92: 8 \\ & \text { heron }[1]-47: 3 \\ & \text { Herrera }[1]-42: 5 \\ & \text { high }[4]-9: 13, \\ & 39: 25,50: 3,57: 11 \\ & \text { higher }[1]-27: 1 \\ & \text { highlighted }[1]- \\ & 5: 25 \\ & \text { highway }[1]-57: 22 \\ & \text { Highway }[4]-1: 2, \\ & \text { 2:11, 2:20, } 5: 19 \\ & \text { Hiram }[2]-52: 8,52: 9 \\ & \text { hired }[1]-4: 23 \\ & \text { historic }[1]-74: 25 \\ & \text { history }[1]-75: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lighting }[4]-53: 15, \\ & 72: 9,72: 11,72: 12 \\ & \text { lights }[2]-50: 11, \\ & 61: 23 \\ & \text { likewise }[2]-41: 5, \\ & 68: 25 \\ & \text { limitations }[1]-70: 1 \\ & \text { limited }[1]-45: 23 \\ & \text { limiting }[1]-20: 21 \\ & \text { line }[4]-7: 7,16: 7, \\ & 39: 25,85: 13 \\ & \text { List }[1]-47: 6 \\ & \text { listed }[2]-39: 13, \\ & 47: 5 \\ & \text { listening }[2]-34: 25, \\ & 57: 1 \\ & \text { literal }{ }_{[1]}-17: 13 \\ & \text { literally }[3]-4: 25, \\ & 77: 5,80: 10 \\ & \text { live }[9]-24: 6,24: 7, \\ & 33: 16,45: 22,45: 23, \\ & 46: 17,58: 16,59: 12, \\ & 60: 11 \\ & \text { lived }{ }_{[1]}-48: 20 \\ & \text { lives }[1]-62: 4 \\ & \text { living }[1]-58: 11 \\ & \text { LLC }[6]-1: 6,1: 8, \\ & 2: 4,2: 16,3: 21,49: 7 \\ & \text { loading }[1]-30: 2 \\ & \text { local }[8]-24: 4, \\ & 49: 14,49: 17,51: 13, \\ & 52: 4,58: 22,60: 12, \\ & 61: 2 \\ & \text { locate }[1]-26: 25 \\ & \text { Located }[1]-2: 20 \\ & \text { located }[2]-5: 19, \\ & 46: 18 \\ & \text { location }[3]-5: 24, \\ & 9: 11,67: 22 \\ & \text { locations }[1]-50: 20 \\ & \text { logic }[2]-30: 20, \\ & 45: 16 \\ & \text { Look }[2]-38: 23, \\ & 73: 3 \\ & \text { look }[15]-37: 7,37: 8, \\ & 38: 12,38: 15,39: 6, \\ & 39: 7,39: 22,40: 12, \\ & 55: 11,57: 10,62: 16, \\ & 73: 4,73: 18,77: 3, \\ & 89: 14 \\ & \text { looked }[5]-9: 5, \\ & 19: 15,21: 19,53: 9, \\ & 70: 14 \\ & \text { looking }[13]-5: 1, \\ & 17: 16,19: 6,19: 16, \\ & 19: 21,25: 4,25: 15, \\ & 33: 18,57: 25,69: 13, \\ & 77: 14,80: 4,84: 18 \\ & \text { Looking }[1]-82: 4 \\ & \text { looks }[2]-82: 3,82: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LORIE }{ }_{[1]}-1: 16 \\ \text { Lorie }[3]-26: 3, \\ \text { 43:15, 44:9 } \\ \text { Lorie's }[1]-4: 3 \\ \text { lose }[2]-59: 3,61: 20 \\ \text { loud }[2]-55: 12 \\ \text { love }[3]-20: 22, \\ \text { 46:25, 90:3 } \\ \text { low }[6]-9: 7,11: 7, \\ \text { 13:1, } 65: 2,65: 3 \\ \text { low-grade }[1]-65: 3 \\ \text { low-level }[1]-65: 3 \\ \text { low-lying }[1]-65: 2 \\ \text { Lower }[1]-22: 24 \\ \text { lying }[1]-65: 2 \\ \text { LYNN }[1]-1: 11 \\ \text { Lynn }[3]-36: 19, \\ \text { 87:18, } 91: 8 \\ \hline \\ \hline \text { M } \\ \hline \text { ma'am }[1]-48: 8 \\ \text { magnitude }[1]- \\ 34: 22 \\ \text { mail }[2]-3: 11,3: 13 \\ \text { mails }[2]-3: 6,25: 1 \\ \text { main }[2]-84: 4 \\ \text { maintain }[1]-75: 7 \\ \text { major }[3]-11: 13, \\ 15: 2,72: 21 \\ \text { man's }[1]-47: 18 \\ \text { manage }[1]-48: 14 \\ \text { Management }[14]- \\ 7: 9,13: 17,13: 19, \\ 16: 2,16: 22,17: 8, \\ \text { 17:9, 17:25, 28:16, } \\ 30: 6,30: 9,66: 3, \\ 85: 10,85: 18 \\ \text { Manager }[1]-75: 11 \\ \text { MANAGER }[1]-1: 14 \\ \text { manatee }[9]-6: 20, \\ 7: 24,10: 18,12: 2, \\ 18: 19,24: 19,43: 22, \\ 69: 5,80: 24 \\ \text { manatees }[8]- \\ 24: 25,25: 7,45: 14, \\ 54: 20,79: 14,79: 15, \\ 79: 25,80: 8 \\ \text { maneuver }[3]-76: 5, \\ 76: 6,76: 7 \\ \text { mangrove }[1]-31: 19 \\ \text { mangroves }[6]- \\ 11: 25,45: 5,61: 3, \\ 78: 20,78: 21 \\ \text { manner }[1]-57: 20 \\ \text { map }[1]-5: 24 \\ \text { Map }[3]-5: 25,6: 22, \\ 39: 20 \\ \text { maps }[2]-39: 18, \\ 39: 24 \end{gathered}$ |  | ```meeting \([8]-3: 2\), 4:11, 18:8, 27:24, 27:25, 83:19, 83:20, 91:17 meetings [1] - \(3: 12\) meets [11]-13:2, 13:10, 16:14, 17:7, 17:24, 28:7, 65:11, 70:8, 72:15, 72:17, 76:8 member [4]-62:25, 75:23, 83:11, 89:13 MEMBER \({ }_{[18]}\) - 44:11, 44:15, 46:10, 48:9, 49:3, 50:24, 52:9, 53:24, 54:1, 55:22, 57:7, 58:16, 60:8, 62:20, 62:24, 63:1, 63:23, 87:21 members [5] - 4:5, 26:14, 49:3, 73:13, 75:20 memory [1] - 82:19 mention [5]-27:9, 38:14, 46:17, 46:21, 61:2 mentioned \([7]\) - 9:7, 49:24, 50:1, 52:21, 75:20, 75:21, 75:23 mentioning [1] - 50:2 Mere [3]-41:1, 68:11, 68:14 mere [3] - 42:10, 42:15, 42:20 mess [1]-63:7 met [5]-7:21, 8:16, 11:10, 64:20, 72:19 Mexico [5] - 4:19, 49:5, 52:11, 54:3, 60:11 Miami [3]-25:2, 42:5, 42:22 mic [1] - 54:2 Michael [2]-50:23, 50:25 microphone [1] - 20:12 middle [1] - 44:19 might [3]-20:7, 86:14, 89:4 migrating [3] - 47:2, 58:24, 61:5 migration [1] - 61:6 Mike [9] - 36:17, 62:19, 62:22, 63:22, 87:16, 91:6 MIKE [1] - 1:12 Mile [1] - 5:19 millions [1]-81:9``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mind }[5]-27: 15, \\ & 30: 11,43: 9,74: 3, \\ & 88: 22 \\ & \text { mindful }{ }_{[2]}-60: 24, \\ & 61: 25 \\ & \text { mine }[1]-54: 21 \\ & \text { minimum }[8]-13: 20, \\ & 14: 22,16: 3,17: 20, \\ & 17: 21,35: 13,35: 18, \\ & 36: 4 \\ & \text { Minimum }[1]-2: 19 \\ & \text { minor }[2]-6: 16,75: 2 \\ & \text { minute }[2]-35: 14, \\ & 35: 25 \\ & \text { miserably }[1]-54: 14 \\ & \text { mission }[1]-49: 9 \\ & \text { misstatements }[1]- \\ & 44: 3 \\ & \text { misunderstanding } \\ & {[1]-80: 6} \\ & \text { mitigated }[1]-8: 3 \\ & \text { mix }[2]-54: 19,55: 7 \\ & \text { Mixed }[8]-6: 1,6: 2, \\ & 6: 4,45: 19,49: 21, \\ & 49: 23,49: 25,50: 4 \\ & \text { model }[1]-40: 14 \\ & \text { modify }[1]-32: 22 \\ & \text { moment }[2]-27: 6, \\ & 91: 12 \\ & \text { moms }[1]-25: 14 \\ & \text { Monday }[1]-1: 4 \\ & \text { money }[1]-56: 4 \\ & \text { Monroe }[2]-2: 13, \\ & 2: 23 \\ & \text { MONROE }[1]-92: 4 \\ & \text { monstrous }[1]-65: 9 \\ & \text { months }[1]-25: 19 \\ & \text { Most }[1]-17: 22 \\ & \text { most }[3]-17: 23, \\ & 31: 15,45: 21 \\ & \text { motel }[3]-41: 20, \\ & 41: 21,41: 24 \\ & \text { Motion }[3]-87: 9, \\ & 90: 8 \\ & \text { motion }[7]-4: 4,4: 9, \\ & 4: 10,4: 15,36: 7,87: 8 \\ & \text { motions }[1]-36: 1 \\ & \text { move }[12]-5: 13, \\ & 26: 5,26: 8,26: 18, \\ & 26: 21,27: 6,28: 13, \\ & 30: 24,32: 6,32: 7, \\ & 34: 5,71: 15 \\ & \text { moved }[5]-24: 6, \\ & 33: 16,33: 19,51: 25, \\ & 81: 6 \\ & \text { moves }[1]-79: 16 \\ & \text { moving }[2]-80: 24, \\ & 81: 1 \\ & \text { MR }[134]-2: 25,3: 10, \\ & 3: 14,3: 18,3: 19,3: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 66:11, 66:12 | revetments ${ }_{[1]}$ - | satisfactory ${ }_{[1]}$ - | self [3]-18:2, 42:11, | 24:18, 36:9, 36:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirements [2] - | 86:10 | $71: 2$ | $42: 21$ | 87:13, 90:9, 91:3 |
| 66:20, 67:9 requirements [19] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { review [5] - 7:1, 8:13, } \\ & 35: 16,35: 17,66: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { saving [1] }-45: 13 \\ & \text { saw }[2]-33: 4,86: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { self-created }[2] \text { - } \\ & 42: 11,42: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexton [3]- 36:13, } \\ & \text { 87:12, } 91: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:11, 12:12, 12:16, | Review [2]-66:22, | scale [4]-19:4 | elf-explanatory [1] | shall $[3]-8: 25$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:10, 14:7, 14:15, } \\ & \text { 14:23, 15:1, 15:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 89:8 | $29: 10,29: 11,29: 24$ | - 18:2 | $67: 13,70: 11$ |
| 35:9, 66:13, 66:24, | 9:17, 71:19 | 34 | emi [1] - 78:3 | 67: |
| 67:2, 69:20, 72:11, | Richard [2] - 53:22, | [1] - 57:25 | semis [2] - 77:17 | shape [2] - 67:16, |
| 72:12, 72:18, 76:8, | 54:3 | ratched [1] - 66:7 | 77:19 | 67:17 |
| 86:15 <br> requires [7]-7:16 | right-hand [1] - | screen [2] - 33:4, | Senior [1]-74:15 | share [1] - 60:9 |
| 33:4, 33:5, 40:25, | hts [1] - 36:3 | screening [2] - 9:6, | $33: 13,45: 16,83: 22,$ | SHEA ${ }_{[17]}$ - 1:15, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 41:9, 68:6, 69:10 } \\ \text { rescue }[2]-21: 5, \end{gathered}$ | rise [1] - 39:25 <br> road [27] - 9:16 | 9:1 | 90:21 | $3: 19,5: 18,10: 1,74: 7,$ |
| 43:22 | 29:25, 38:4, 38:5, | [2] - 39:25, 56:9 | sensitive [12] | 74:18, 74:22, 74:25, |
| Rescue [1] - 12:7 | 47:16, 53:11, 55:15 | seamless [1] - 86:17 | 11:21, 26:22, 27:1, | 75:8, 84:12, 87:25, |
| rescuing [1] - 24:22 | 59:10, 59:22, 60:1 | eaquarium [1] | 27:2, 31:5, 31:7, | 88:5, 88:8, 88:17 |
| research [6]-64:12, | 60:3, 62:8, 75:22, | 25: | 12, 33:22, 34:6 | Shea [4]-10:14, |
| 68:16, 69:4, 69:13, | 87:23, 87:24, 87:25 | search | 39:22, 85:1, 88:13 | 14:17, 74:5, 74:6 |
| 69:14, 70:7 | 88:4, 88:11, 88:14, | season [2]-47:25, | sent [1] - 49:8 | Shea's [1] - 4:2 |
| Research [4] - 12:7, | 89:1, 89:2, 90:11, | 48: | sentence $[3]-68: 11$, | Shh [1] - 83:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:21, } 64: 12 \\ & \text { resident }[3]-49: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 14,90: 24 \\ & \text { roads [2] - 88:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seawatch [26]-3:16, } \\ & \text { 26:11, 38:8, 38:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:14, } 69: 7 \\ & \text { separate }[4]-10: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shine }[1]-61: 23 \\ & \text { ship }[1]-30: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:8, } 60: 12 \\ & \text { residential }{ }_{[7]}-9: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 1 \\ & \text { roadway }[2]-46: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 21,49: 4,53: 16, \\ & 54: 4,55: 15,58: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:5, } 86: 20,86: 22 \\ & \text { separately }[1]-14: 4 \end{aligned}$ | shipped [1]-23:7 <br> shock [1] - 60:14 |
| 12:18, 34:23, 38:14, | 76:6 | 59:12, 60:13, 61: | serene [1]-61:10 | shocked [1] - 46 |
| $45: 21,47: 19,61: 8$ | roadways [1] - 76:8 | 61:8, 62:4, 62:6, | serve [2]-37:20, | shoehorn [1] - 30:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { residents [7] - 46:20, } \\ & \text { 49:19, } 60: 19,61: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { room }[4]-3: 23, \\ 81: 24,88: 13,90: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:11, 80:5, 87:23, } \\ & \text { 88:2, 88:7, 89:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 40:13 services $[6]-7: 22$ | shorter [1] - 84:17 shoved [1] - 60:17 |
| 61:9, 61:19, 62:5 | seate ${ }_{[1]}-47: 4$ | 89:12, 89:14, 89:17, | 8:17, 8:18, 71:25 | show [4]-10:10 |
| idents' [1] - 49:17 | roughly [1] - 8:9 | 89:24 | 72:1, 72:2 | 14:5, 65:10, $65: 23$ |
| residue [1] - 47:18 | routinely [1] - 16:20 | Seawatch's [1] | Services [2]-13:6, | showed [1] - 5:12 |
| resolve [1] - 81:16 | rule [4]-26:24, 27:5, | 60:18 | 70:23 | showing [4]-5:2 |
| resolved [2]-41:7, | 41:5, 68:25 | Second [4]-36:9 | erving [1] - 46:15 | 6:22, 10:4, 10:22 |
| 69:8 | run [4]-47:20, 56:2, | 52:20, 87:11, $90: 9$ | set [3]-16:18, 23:1, | shown [1] - 65:3 |
| Resort ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:15 resource $[1]$ - $31: 1$ |  | Secondly [1] - 32:19 <br> seconds [1]-83:5 | 86:15 | shows [3] - 14:8, |
| resources [2] - | $\text { running }[1]-53: 13$ | Section [15]-2:12 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { setback [15] - } 5: 23, \\ 7: 5,7: 7,7: 10,13: 13, \end{array}$ | 64:17, 65:19 shrink [3] - 20:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:13, 86:7 } \\ & \text { respect }[2]-28: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { runoff }[3]-39: 8, \\ & 48: 14.84: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $2: 17,2: 22,8: 4,11: 14$ | $13: 14,13: 19,13: 25$ | $21: 8,21: 11$ |
| 56:2 | 48.14, 84.9 | 66:16, 66:20, 66:22, | $20: 5,21: 17,79: 9,$ | $47: 16,60: 2,82: 6,$ |
| respects [1]-17:22 | S | 67:3, 67:6, 67:8, | 79:16 | 82:9, 82:14, 90:17 |
| respond $[1]$ - 83:5 |  | 67:10 | Setback [1]-2:19 | sides [1] - 15:23 |
| response [1]-28:1 <br> rest $[4]-4: 11,30: 19$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { safe }[1]-58: 25 \\ & \text { safely }[6]-8: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { security }[1]-61: 22 \\ & \text { see }[28]-6: 3,8: 8, \end{aligned}$ | Setbacks ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:12 <br> setbacks [5]-13:12, | signed [1]-25:23 <br> significant [6] - 16:8, |
| 59:1, 61:6 | 59:11, 71:13, 71:16, | 11:3, 17:3, 24:11, | 17:9, 18:14, 20:8, | $22: 1,22: 2,22: 4,$ |
| restoration [10] - | 76:5, 76:6 | 24:12, 33:8, 33:11 | 52:21 | 22:10, 24:25 |
| 10:18, 21:5, 43:23, | safety [2] - 7:19, 76:8 | 33:20, 33:21, 34:12, | setting [1] - 16:19 | silence [1] -61:18 |
| 45:15, 51:2, 51:7, | sake [2]-86:1, 86:3 | 36:3, 38:1, 39:24, | seven [8]-22:18, | similar [4] - 8:11, |
| 56:9, 68:17, 71:3, | salt [1] - 61:3 | 43:12, 47:9, 47:13, | 27:21, 38:15, 51:6, | 65:13, 78:16 |
| 80:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Samess }[4]-44: 10, \\ 44: 15.57: 2.78: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 17,53: 10,53: 11, \\ & 53: 18,62: 12,63: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $56: 1,74: 22,77: 21,$ 78:5 | $\text { simple }[1]-32:$ |
| 52:5 | sanctuaries ${ }_{[1]}$ | $77: 23,80: 2,89: 10,$ | $\text { several }[3]-16: 13 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { simply [3]- 39:10, } \\ & 40: 3,40: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Restoring [1] - 45:13 <br> result [1] - 40:23 <br> results [2]-67:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:6 } \\ & \text { Sanctuary }[1]-22: 20 \\ & \text { sanctuary }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:3, } 90: 13 \\ & \text { seeing }[5]-37: 4, \\ & 45: 5,45: 6,47: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:19, } 59: 9 \\ & \text { severe }[1]-60: 20 \\ & \text { sewer }[1]-48: 17 \end{aligned}$ | single [3]-29:25, 32:9, 48:22 <br> Site [1]-82:1 |
| 68:5 <br> retainage [1] - 38:25 <br> retirees [1]-59:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:24, } 59: 5 \\ & \text { sand }[2]-71: 9, \\ & 71: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seeking }[6]-5: 20, \\ & 6: 7,6: 17,7: 6,26: 17, \\ & 41: 17 \end{aligned}$ | sewers [1] - 53:12 <br> SEXTON [10] - 1:11, <br> 3:7, 3:13, 22:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { site }[37]-5: 18,5: 22, \\ 6: 3,6: 9,6: 12,6: 14, \\ 6: 16,6: 23,7: 2,7: 17, \end{gathered}$ |


| 8:7, 8:11, 9:3, 9:11, | 88:15, 88:21 | split-zoned [1] - 6:4 | 15:17, 64:5, 64:19, | 69:21, 72:14, 72:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:12, 10:22, 10:23, | ry [9]-3:22, 3:23, | oonbill [1] - 47:4 | 66:5, 71:18, 72:8 | sufficient [1]-86:9 |
| 10:24, 11:2, 11:4, | 9:20, 15:6, 17:23, | spoonbills [2]-47:7, | States [2] - 31:13, | suggestion [2] - |
| 14:10, 16:6, 16:9, | 28:5, 62:24, 73:24, | 47:8 | 31:16 | 4:12, 30:5 |
| 27:15, 27:16, 27:18, | 85:8 | spot [1] - 88:16 | stating [3] - 65:9, | suitable [2] - 40:8, |
| 29:5, 31:18, 32:24, $48: 4,48: 15,73: 4$ | sort [2]-26:16, | Square [1]-2:9 | 69:1, 69:3 | 42:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:4, 48:15, 73:4, } \\ & 76: 3,76: 4,82: 1, \end{aligned}$ | 31:2 | square [34]-6:18 | on [1] - 48:17 | support [5] - 22:22, <br> 44:18, 46:6, 63:20 |
| 83:18 | 4:1, 62:1 | $9: 21,18: 25$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { stat } \\ \text { s5:7 } \end{gathered}$ | supports [2]-57:3, |
| ing [1] - 30:22 | [ $\left.{ }_{1}\right]$ - 60:22 | 21:11, 26:18, 26:25 | stay [5] - 53:6, 78:10, | 65:14 |
| situated [1] - 62:11 <br> situation [8]-25:14, | souls [1]-62:16 <br> sound [2]-49:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:1, 27:14, 28:8, } \\ & \text { 28:11, 28:13, 29:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79: 24,79: 25,81: 20 \\ \text { stayed }[1]-78: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supposed }[1]-40: 14 \\ & \text { surely }[2]-62: 5 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 32:4, 38:6, 40:15, | 61:18 | 30:24, 32:5, 32:17, | steel ${ }_{[1]}$ - 89:1] | 62:12 |
| 59:21, 67:21, 80:15, | sounds [1] - 12:24 | 34:4, 34:7, 34:8, 34:9, | stenotype [1] - 92:9 | surrounded [1] - |
| 88:9 | outh [16] - 2:12, | 40:6, 52:23, 65:6, | VE ${ }_{[1]}-1: 15$ | 78:20 |
| six [3]-2:14, 2:24, | 2:22, 7:9, 13:17 | 65:7, 65:8, 73:5, 80:3, | wards [1] - 56:8 | surrounding [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 12 \\ & \text { size }[11]-18: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:18, 16:1, 16:21, } \\ & \text { 17:7, 17:9, 17:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82: 13,84: 21,84: 22 \\ \text { stack }[1]-43: 15 \end{gathered}$ | still [2] - 18:25, 20:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 48:11 } \\ \text { surr } \end{gathered}$ |
| 18:24, 21:20, 21:25, | 28:16, 30:6, 30:9 | Staff [1]-88:18 | 51:2 | 67:22 |
| 29:24, 29:25, 34:1, | 66:2, 85:10, $85: 17$ | STAFF [1] - 1:13 | stop ${ }_{[2]}-15: 9,56: 11$ | survey [1] - 33:9 |
| 40:9, 62:13, 73:2, | space [25] - 6:21, | staff [10]-6:23, 7:5, | store [2]-10:6, | surveyor [1] - 33:8 |
| 79:20 | 9:7, 9:8, 9:9, 10:4, | 7:8, 8:20, 9:17, 9:22, | 25:10 | survive [1] - 57:17 |
| sizes [1] - 37:23 | 11:9, 11:10, 12:4, | 27:9, 35:10, 35:17, | stored [1] - 51:24 | SUSAN [1] - 1:12 |
| Skip [1] - 82:2 | 12:5, 12:13, 12:15, | 75:15 | stories [4]-29:17, | Susan [6] - 36:15, |
| sky [2] - 61:20, 61:24 | 12:23, 13:3, 13:11, | stages [1] - 23:17 | 57:11, 83:17, 83:23 | 46:9, 87:14, 91:4, |
| sleeping [1]-53:8 | 18:21, 20:4, 20:5, | staghorn [2]-20:6, | storms [1] - 51:25 | 92:6, 92:13 |
| slew [1]-3:5 | 20:7, 26:19, 45:23, | 51:9 | stormwater [5] - | swales [2]-65:21, |
| slide [4]-10:10, | 61:20, 62:12, 65:22, | staging [1] - 51:20 | 38:23, 38:24, 39:2 | 65:24 |
| 10:21, 10:22, 14:5 | 76:19, 80:2 | stakeholders [2] - | 39:7, 84:8 | Swear [1] - 85:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { slipped }[1]-88: 22 \\ & \text { small }[5]-10: 5,38: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Space }[1]-2: 10 \\ & \text { spaces }[4]-14: 9 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:4, 52:4 } \\ & \quad \text { standard [2] - 28:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stormwater [1] - } \\ & 65: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { swear }[1]-66: 8 \\ & \text { sweet }[1]-53: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 45: 19,49: 14,88: 10 \\ \text { smaller [3] - 25:15, } \end{gathered}$ | 14:10, 38:16, 65:23 spalling ${ }_{[1]}$ - 78:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 8 \\ & \text { Standards [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { story }[5]-28: 7, \\ 57: 21,60: 16,83: 8, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sweet-something }{ }_{[1]} \\ & -53: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:22, 29:24 } \\ & \text { Smith [11] - 10:2, } \end{aligned}$ | speaker $[1]-58: 18$ <br> speakers [4]-43:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:10 } \\ & \text { standards }[16]-7: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 9 \\ & \text { street }[3]-38: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Sweetnam [1] - 54:3 swimming [1] - 45:7 |
| 23:20, 35:14, 43:18, | 43:19, 44:9, 63:24 | 13:22, 14:1, 14:12, | 38:22, 45: | worn [1] - 62:25 |
| 43:21, 64:2, 64:3, | speaking [2]-26:4, | 14:14, 16:3, 16:5 | strict [1] - 67:2 | Sworn [1] - 85:7 |
| 75:17, 75:19, 82:17, | 89:7 | 16:22, 17:8, 17:25, | rong [1] - 26:15 | Sylvia [2] - 58:15, |
| 85:17 | special [10] - 16:6, | 30:6, 42:2, 66:14 | trongly ${ }_{[1]}$ - 53:20 | 58:16 |
| SMITH [25] - 1:19, | 16:11, 16:15, 17:6, | 66:21, 71:23 | structure [9]-6:19, | system [2] - 25:4, |
| 5:16, 9:24, 10:3, | 53:11, 61:11, 67:18, | standing [2] - 30:22 | $8: 9,29: 19,33: 18$ | 48:17 |
| 15:15, 18:18, 19:1, | 69:24, 69:25, 72:18 | standpoint [1] - 77:2 | $43: 1,43: 2,50: 6,$ | systems [1] - 66:1 |
| 19:3, 19:8, 19:14, | Special [3]-17:5, | start [5] - 10:12, | $50: 13,84: 13$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:22, 19:24, 20:10, } \\ & 25: 23,35: 9,43: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:11, } 67: 15 \\ & \text { species }[1]-58: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 23,42: 18,47: 12, \\ & 80: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Structure [1] - 2:20 structures [1] - | T |
| 44:1, 64:3, 72:25, | Species [3]-6:25, | started [1] - 32:15 | 48:15 | Iks [1] - 34:18 |
| 73:6, 76:1, 76:14, | 47:6 | starting [2]-29:15, | tudents [1] - 56:15 | all [1] - 83:25 |
| 76:17, 90:16, 91:11 | specific [2] - 67:16, | 51:8 | study [3] - 39:12, | Tampa [1] - 4:17 |
| Smith's [1] - 82:19 <br> social [1] - 80:7 | 69:14 specil | starts [1]-10:11 <br> State [8]-13:15 | $39: 13,39: 15$ | tanks [1] - 61:17 |
| solar [5] - 75:23, | $13: 7,25: 3,25: 10$ | 13:22, 16:3, 20:14, | $\text { tuff }[5]-21: 6$ $: 12,24: 22,63$ | tasteless [1] - 57 Tatgenhorst ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 76:10, 76:12, 76:24, | 26:23, 28:2, 33:3, | 25:6, 43:5, 44:13, | 83:19 | 46:9 |
| 77:2 | 34:18, 45:14, 46:5, | 92:7 | subdivision [1] | Tavernier ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:23 |
| Sombrero [1]-51:5 <br> sometime [1] - 59:23 | $51: 23,80: 5,80: 8$ <br> specifics [1]-18:23 | STATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 92:3 | \| 34:23 | Technical ${ }_{[1]}-89: 8$ |
| sometimes [1]-61:5 | specimens $[1]$ - | $\begin{gathered} \text { state [4]-9:21, } \\ \text { 28:17, } 64: 9,82: 18 \end{gathered}$ | subject [1] - 90:23 <br> submitted [2]-26:3, | eming [1] - 46:17 |
| somewhere [2] - | 61:15 | statement [4] - | 35:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { telephone [2] - 3:11, } \\ & 3: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:14, 77:20 | spend [2] - 81:16, | 27:20, 27:22, 31:9, | subsidized [1] - 72:5 | temporary [1] - |
| Soon [1] - 53:7 | 83:21 | 64:21 | substantial [6] - | 80:13 |
| Sorry [3] - 81:25, | split [1] - 6:4 | statements [6] - | 14:25, 15:4, 29:6, | $\operatorname{ten}[1]-57: 13$ |




## CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA <br> RESOLUTION 2021-74


#### Abstract

RESOLUTION 2021-74 APPROVING OR DENYING AN APPEAL HELD PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 102, ARTICLE 17, "APPEALS," FILED BY NICHOLAS MULICK ON BEHALF OF SEAWATCH AT MARATHON CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION INC. SEEKING TO OVERTURN THE DECISION OF THE CITY OF MARATHON PLANNING COMMISSION WHOSE DECISION APPROVED A VARIANCE FOR WETLAND SETBACK REDUCTION (PC RESOLUTION 2021-001); PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OR DENIAL OF THE APPEAL BY CITY COUNCIL; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, on May 17th, 2021, the Planning Commission, sitting as the Deciding Body for variances, heard the variance request taking testimony and evidence from the City, the Applicant, and other affected parties determining in a unanimous decision to approve the variance; and

WHEREAS, on August 2nd, 2021 pursuant to Chapter 102, Article 17, Nick Mulick on behalf of Seawatch at Marathon Condominium Association, Inc., affected parties, appealed the decision by the Planning Commission in their determination to issue a variance as certified by PC resolution 2021-001; and

WHEREAS, on September 14, 2021, the City Council, sitting as the City's Appellate Body for decisions of the Planning Commission and other appointed bodies, heard the appeal taking testimony and evidence from the City, the Appellant, and other affected parties determining in a decision to $\qquad$ the appeal; and

WHEREAS, this Resolution represents the public record of the decision of the City Council to $\qquad$ the appeal brought by Seawatch at Marathon Condominium Association, Inc.; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein.
Section 2. 1. the appeal of Seawatch at Marathon Condominium Association, Inc. concerning the issuance of PC Resolution 2021-001 is hereby $\qquad$ .
2. this Resolution is subject to appeal for a period of no longer than thirty (30) days pursuant to the City's Code of Ordinances.

Section 3. The City Clerk shall forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Applicant.

Section 54 Effective Date. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Marathon, Florida, this 14th day of September, 2021.

# THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA 

## Luis Gonzalez, Mayor

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:
ATTEST:

Diane Clavier, City Clerk
(City Seal)
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY FOR THE USE AND RELIANCE OF THE CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA ONLY:

Steve T. Williams, City Attorney

