

City of Marathon Planning Commission Monday March 21, 2022 9805 Overseas Hwy City Hall Council Chambers <u>5:30 PM</u>

- 1. Call To Order
- 2. Pledge Of Allegiance
- 3. Roll Call
- 4. Minutes
- 5. Quasi-Judicial Statement
- 6. Items For Public Hearing
- 7. Adjournment

5. Please be advised that some of the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature. If you wish to give testimony on any item please inform the Boards clerk by filling out an available sign up form. An opportunity to speak will be made available after the applicant and staff have made their presentations on each item. All testimony, including public testimony and evidence, will be made under oath or affirmation. Additionally, all persons giving testimony may be subject to cross examination. If you refuse either to be cross examined or to be sworn your testimony will not be considered. The general public will not be allowed to cross examine witnesses, but they can ask the Commission to ask questions on their behalf. Persons representing organizations must present evidence of their authority to speak for the organization.

6. Items For Public Hearing

1. An Ordinance Of The City Of Marathon, Florida Amending The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) From Residential Medium (RM) To Mixed Use-Commercial (MU-C) For The Property Described As Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000; Providing For Severability; Providing For The Repeal Of Conflicting Provisions; Providing For The Transmittal Of This Ordinance To The State Department Of Economic Opportunity; And Providing For An Effective Date Upon The Approval Of This Ordinance By The State Department Of Economic Opportunity.

2. An Ordinance Of The City Of Marathon, Florida Amending The Zoning Designation From Residential Medium (RM) To Mixed Use (MU) For The Property Described As Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca, Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000; Providing For Severability; Providing For The Repeal Of Conflicting Provisions; Providing For The Transmittal Of This Ordinance To The State Department Of Economic Opportunity; And Providing For An Effective Date Upon The Approval Of This Ordinance By The State Department Of Economic Opportunity.



City of Marathon Planning Commission Monday February 28, 2022 9805 Overseas Hwy City Hall Council Chambers

MINUTES

Landry called the meeting of the Planning Commission to order on Monday, February 28, 2022, at 5:30 pm.

In attendance: Attorney Steve Williams, Planning Director Brian Shea, Planner Erin Dafoe, and Admin Assistant Lorie Mullins.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

The roll was called. Mike Cinque-present; Matt Sexton-absent; Malloy Pinto-present; Mary Ann Royse-present; Lynn Landry-present.

The minutes of the last meeting were approved 5-0.

The quasi-judicial statement was read into the record.

Item 1 was read into the record. Consideration Of A Request By LR Latitude, LLC For A Conditional Use Permit, Pursuant To Chapter 102, Article 13 Of The City Of Marathon Land Development Regulations ("The Code") Entitled "Conditional Use Permits", Authorizing The Development Of Fourteen (14) Residential Units On Properties Located At 990 41st Street Gulf, Which Are Legally Described As Part Of Marathon Beach Subdivision, Key Vaca Lots 9 & 10, Block 1, And Bay Bottom North Of & Adjacent To Lots 9 & 10 Block 1, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Numbers 00336670-000000 And 00336680-000000.

Dafoe presented the item.

AJ Davila from Smith Hawks presented the item on behalf of the applicant.

Landry opened the meeting to public speakers.

• Giselle Vanderwaal asked if the land is reclaimed land.

After a brief discussion Cinque moved to approve. Landry seconded.

The roll was called. The item was approved 5-0.

Adjourned at 5:45pm.

ATTEST:

Lynn Landry – Planning Commission Chairman

ATTEST:

Lorie Mullins-Administrative Assistant City of Marathon Planning Department

Audio-Video is available upon request.

Pursuant to Section 286.0105, Florida Statutes, if a person decides to appeal any decision made by the Planning Commission with respect to any matter considered at such hearing or meeting, one will need a record of the proceedings and for such purpose that person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made; such record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

ADA Assistance: Anyone needing special assistance at the Planning Commission Meeting due to disability should contact the City of Marathon at (305-) 743-0033 at least two days prior thereto.

(Please note that one or more Marathon City Council members may participate in the meeting.)

PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA STATEMENT

Meeting Date: March 21, 2022



From: Amber Stonik, Planning Associate

Agenda Item: An Ordinance Of The City Of Marathon, Florida Amending The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) From Residential Medium (RM) To Mixed Use-Commercial (MU-C) For The Property Described As Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000; Providing For Severability; Providing For The Repeal Of Conflicting Provisions; Providing For The Transmittal Of This Ordinance To The State Department Of Economic Opportunity; And Providing For An Effective Date Upon The Approval Of This Ordinance By The State Department Of Economic Opportunity.

APPLICANT/OWNI	Ed Sims, Gunnar Holdings LLC	
LOCATION:	The subject property is located on 69 th Street, nearest Mile Marker 51 and is legally described as Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca, Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000.	
ADDRESS:	420 69th Street	
-	Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) for the subject properties from Residential Medium (RM) to Mixed Use Commercial (MU-C).	
LOT AREA:	The aggregated size of the parcels is approximately 0.23 acres (10,000 sq./ft.)	

BACKGROUND:

These parcels were zoned as Improved Subdivision (IS) under Monroe County. In 2005, when the City of Marathon adopted the Comprehensive Plan, the parcels were given a Residential Medium (RM) FLUM designation and were in turn zoned as Residential Medium (RM) when the City adopted the current zoning maps in 2007. The applicants are requesting the re-designation of the FLUM map and subsequent rezoning to make the parcels consistent with the Mixed-Use Commercial (MU-C) designation along US1. The rezoning is not addressed in this application.

The applicants have stated that the FLUM and zoning designation should be the same as the adjacent owned commercial property that the parking lot is used for.

Pre 2005	Pre 2007	2005	2007
OLD FLUM	OLD ZONING	CURRENT FLUM	CURRENT ZONING
Mixed Use Commercial	Improved Subdivision	Residential Medium	Residential Medium

Current and Proposed Future Land Uses and Zoning

Future Land Use Map Designation			
Current:	Residential Medium (RM)		
Proposed:	Mixed Use Commercial (MU-C)		

Land Use (Zoning) District Designation			
Existing:	Residential Medium (RM)		
Proposed:	Mixed Use (MU)		

Use of Properties	
Existing:	Residential
Proposed:	No plans at this time

Surrounding FLUM, Zoning and Uses

The property subject to the FLUM amendment is located on 69th St and consists of one parcel. The property is located next to a property under the same ownership that is zoned Mixed Use. Adjacent land use to the West and North is Mixed Use. To the East is Residential High and South is Residential Medium. The following table correlates existing uses with the existing FLUM, zoning and uses.

	Existing FLUM	Existing Zoning	Existing Uses
North	Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)	Mixed Use (MU)	Vacant commercial
East	Residential Medium (RM)	Residential Medium (RM)	Single family residential
South	Residential Medium (RM)	Residential Medium (RM)	Single family residential
West	Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)	Mixed Use (MU)	Utility and commercial.

Existing Habitat

The existing conditions maps indicate the properties are designated as hammock which is potential habitat for the Eastern Indigo Snake, Key Tree Cactus, and the Stock Island Tree Snail. A recent vegetation survey showed the site to be primarily covered in invasive exotics. An application was made to remove these invasives from the sites. The parcel is not within the Florida Forever boundaries, which is land that has been identified as critical areas suitable for acquisition by federal, state, or local agencies.

FEMA

The properties are within the AE 6 flood zone.

DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS:

Current FLUM: Residential Medium (RM)

Policy 1-3.1.4 Residential Medium of the Comprehensive Plan states "the principal purpose of the Residential Medium land use category is to provide for medium density residential development."

Residential Medium Allowable Density: Market Rate – 5 Units per acre Affordable – 10 units per acre

Proposed FLUM: Mixed Use Commercial (MU-C)

Policy 1-3.1.4 Mixed Use Commercial of the Comprehensive Plan states "the principal purpose of the Mixed-Use Commercial land use category is to provide for the establishment of Mixed-Use development patterns within the City. This land use category is intended to provide for the commercial zoning district where various types of commercial, retail, and office uses may be permitted at intensities which are consistent with the community character and the natural environment and to provide for various types of residential uses, including employee housing and commercial apartments."

Mixed Use Commercial Allowable Density

Market Rate – 2-6 Units per acre Affordable – 10-15 units per acre Transient – 10-25 units per acre

Commercial-Industrial Intensity Table

Type of Use	FAR ¹
Retail	
Low Intensity	.60
Med Intensity	.45
High Intensity	.25
Office	.60
Commercial Recreation	.15
Institutional	.30
Outdoor Recreational	.15
Public Buildings and Uses	.45
Restaurant/Bar	.60
Industrial	.85
Light Industrial in MU	.30

¹ The FAR for mixed use developments may be increased to .75 if mitigated by the development of affordable/workforce housing is provided

ANALYSIS OF FLUM CHANGE REQUEST:

Consistency with Adopted Comprehensive Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies.

The following excerpts from the City of Marathon Comprehensive Plan apply to the proposed development.

Policy 1-1.1.1 states the City is to protect and enhance the "small town" atmosphere and to encourage mixed- use development patterns.

Policy 1-1.1.3 states the City is to protect viable and stable residential neighborhoods from inconsistent uses via LDR standards for landscaping, buffering, bulk restrictions, building height, setbacks, and separation between uses.

Policy 1-1.1.4 states the City shall continue to maintain LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS which implement the following techniques required to create a smooth land use transition where it is not feasible to separate incompatible land uses.

- a. Variable buffers, combining land and landscaping to achieve adequate separation of uses, appropriate open space, reduction of potential noise, light, glare, and pollution, and screening of physical features of a proposed development;
- b. Variable setbacks, based upon degree of difference in proposed use, density, intensity, scale, mass, or height;
- c. Placement and effective screening or shielding of site features such as lights, signs, dumpsters, loading areas, parking areas, outdoor storage, or other features with potential negative impacts;
- d. Effective transitions of on-site densities, intensities, scale, mass, and height; and
- e. Other innovative site design features that effectively achieve compatibility and effectively mitigate potential negative impacts.

FL State Statutes

Relevant criteria promulgated in Chapters 163, 380, and 9J-5 F.A.C. can be itemized in bullets as follows based on the critical concerns more specifically identified in the City's comprehensive plan:

- Natural Resource Protection
 - o Wetlands
 - o Estuaries
 - Living marine resources
 - o Beaches / Dunes
 - Unique wildlife habitat
 - Water Quality
- Historical Resources
- Infrastructure / Concurrency Management
 - o Wastewater
 - o Stormwater
 - o Potable Water

- o Solid Waste
- o Transportation
- Affordable Housing
- Hazard Mitigation
 - o CHHA
 - o Hurricane Evacuation
- Ports
 - Marina Siting
- Public Use
 - Shoreline use and Access
 - water dependent and independent activity
- Land Acquisition
 - Conservation
 - o CHHA
 - Public Services

These bullet items will be utilized as the focus points for review of the proposed FLUM amendment and for future comprehensive plan amendments.

Natural Resources

Direct impacts to natural resources would differ minimally as a result of the proposed FLUM change. This property is recognized potential habitat for the Eastern Indigo Snake, Key Tree Cactus, and the Stock Island Tree snail. Any development is subject to the requirements of the FEMA-FWS agreement. It is not within Florida Forever boundaries or critical habitat areas.

There are no associated wetlands, estuaries, beach areas or dunes associated with the area proposed for FLUM change. These are protected resources important to the tenants of Chapter 163, 9J-5, F.A.C., or the Principals for Guiding Development.

The proposed FLUM amendment is consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Historical and Cultural Resources

Protection of historical and cultural resources is crucial under the City's Comprehensive Plan, Chapters 163 and 380 F.S.

There are no known historical or cultural resources associated with the subject properties or within the area of the requested FLUM change. Therefore, the FLUM change would have no impacts on historical or cultural resources. The proposed FLUM amendment is therefore consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Infrastructure

• Wastewater infrastructure

Wastewater as an issue of infrastructure capacity and means of water quality protection represents the backbone to the City's Comprehensive Plan, the tenants of Chapters 163 and 380 F.S. The subject property inclusive of the area of the proposed FLUM change is served by the Area 5 sewer infrastructure. The Utility Manager for the City of Marathon reviewed the proposed FLUM changes and determined that there would be no adverse impact on sewer capacity if the proposal were approved.

The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of wastewater infrastructure capacity and provide limited or no adverse impact resulting from nutrient loading. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Stormwater infrastructure

Stormwater infrastructure capacity and means of water quality protection represents another of the backbone elements of the City's Comprehensive Plan, the tenants of Chapters 163 and 380 F.S. The City of Marathon requires that all property owners retain their stormwater on site. All proposals for new developments, and redevelopments, must submit detailed civil engineering plans for review by the City Engineer. Proposals for redevelopment would have to obtain all required permits through other applicable agencies, such as the Department of Environmental Protection & South Florida Water Management District.

Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change would have a diminimus impact on stormwater infrastructure capacity.

• Potable Water

Monroe County's potable water facilities do not critically constrain the amount of future growth that can be accommodated in the County (see End Note 1). The current FKAA Consumptive Use Permit, when compared to current potable water consumption rates, will provide sufficient potable water to accommodate existing and committed development plus an additional 18,258 equivalent residential units (ERU's) in unincorporated and incorporated Monroe County. The FKAA's Consumptive Use Permit has been renewed (see End Note 1). Costs of improvements to upgrade facilities for potable water supply, treatment, and distribution, in order to accommodate future growth impacts, would not be borne by the City, as this utility is private and would be in the FKAA's CIP, not the City's.

An increase in potable water demand is not expected as part of the proposed FLUM change, any increase would have a diminimus impact on potable water infrastructure capacity. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Solid Waste

Solid waste capacity is managed in the Florida Keys under haul-out contracts to mainland solid waste facilities. There are currently no limits on solid waste capacity that would be impacted by this FLUM proposal.

The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of solid waste infrastructure capacity. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Transportation

The requested FLUM change is not expected to have an adverse impact on roadway capacity.

Monroe County's roadway facilities do not critically constrain the amount of future growth that can be accommodated in the County or the City (see End Note 1). Although localized deficiencies characterize several segments of US 1, sufficient reserve capacity exists in the overall roadway system to accommodate existing and committed development plus an additional 5,738 residential units (see End Note 1). Only 2,550 were allocated to unincorporated Monroe County in 1992, of which 150 went to the City. Thus, there is reserve capacity on US 1to accommodate planned growth in the City (see End Note 2).

The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of transportation on U.S. Highway One. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Affordable Housing

Affordable housing is an important issue in the Florida Keys and throughout the state of Florida. The City has well over 200 developed or approved affordable housing units. The proposed FLUM change would facilitate a potential for increased affordable housing on site. The Public FLUM category allows for a potential increase of 15 units per acre of affordable housing versus the RM FLUM category.

The proposed FLUM change will have the effect of enhancing the potential for affordable housing projects. The proposed FLUM amendment is therefore consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Hazard Mitigation

• Coastal High Hazard Areas

The subject parcel is not in the Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA).

Policy 4- 1.17.6 Limit Redevelopment in CHHA

The City shall limit redevelopment in areas within the CHHA shown by the Local Mitigation Strategy to be particularly susceptible to repeated damage. Criteria for assessing redevelopment

potential for these properties shall be addressed within the Post Disaster Redevelopment Plan, to be prepared pursuant to Policy 4-1.22.3.

Policy 4-1.20.1Discourage Development in the High Velocity AreaThe City shall, through the Land Development Regulations, continue to encourage both residentialand non-residential development away from the areas designated as high velocity storm surge areasthrough disincentives in the adopted BPAS. The FLUM change encourages increased developedoutside the CHHA.

• Hurricane Evacuation

The critical carrying capacity constraint at the present time is related to the requirement that hurricane evacuation clearance times for Monroe County be maintained at or below 30 hours through the Year 2002, and further reduced to 24 hours by 2010 (see End Note 1).

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity in conjunction with sister state agencies and the participation of all local governments completed an analysis this year of current hurricane evacuation constraints. It was determined that under defined conditions, the County was able to maintain a 24-hour evacuation time while continuing the current ROGO and BPAS allocation formulas. Thus, for the ensuing ten (10) years the City will continue to be able to issue 30 residential allocations per year.

The proposed FLUM change would have a positive impact on hurricane evacuation times with the BPAS system in place. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

<u> Ports – Marina Siting</u>

Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change will have no adverse impact on ports management or the City's Marina Siting Plan. The subject property provides no opportunity for Marina development.

The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Public Use – Access to Water

There is no public access to the water from this location

<u>Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change will have no adverse impact on public access to water. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.</u>

Land Acquisition

Land acquisition in the Florida Keys is carried out by the City, County, State, and to a limited extent the federal government for the purposes of resource conservation and management, removal of properties in the CHHA from public ownership, and to provide for public services and facilities. The parcels are not on the Florida Forever boundary map.

The proposed FLUM change would have no impact on land acquisition efforts of the abovementioned entities so long a conservation easement is ensured. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

SUMMARY:

The applicants have requested a change in the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation for the parcel located at 420 69th Avenue. Currently the property is designated as Residential Medium (RM). The applicant is requesting a change to Mixed Use Commercial (MUC), and subsequent rezoning of the parcel.

Staff finds the proposed FLUM change consistent with the standards and tenants of Chapter 163 and 380 F.S., and the City's Comprehensive Plan adopted under the requirements of theses statutes and rules.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the above information, the Marathon Planning Department staff recommends that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation to Council for transmittal of the request to amend the Future Land Use Map for this parcel from Residential Medium (RM) to Mixed Use Commercial (MUC).

End Notes:

- 1. The source of the future land use analysis based on carrying capacity limitations can be found in the Monroe County Comprehensive Plan Technical Document (Data and Analysis) Section 2.4 (pp. 2-86 2-95).
- 2. City of Marathon, Comprehensive Plan Data and Analysis, page 10.

PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA STATEMENT

Meeting Date: March 21, 2022



From: Amber Stonik, Planning Associate

Agenda Item: An Ordinance Of The City Of Marathon, Florida Amending The Zoning Designation From Residential Medium (RM) To Mixed Use (MU) For The Property Described As Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca, Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000; Providing For Severability; Providing For The Repeal Of Conflicting Provisions; Providing For The Transmittal Of This Ordinance To The State Department Of Economic Opportunity; And Providing For An Effective Date Upon The Approval Of This Ordinance By The State Department Of Economic Opportunity.

APPLICANT/OWNER:	Ed Sims, Gunnar Holdings LLC	
LOCATION:	The subject property is located on 69 th Street, nearest Mile Marker 51 and is legally described as Block 2, Lot 2 First Addition to Seacrest, Key Vaca, Marathon, Monroe County, Florida, Having Real Estate Number 00339240-000000.	
ADDRESS:	420 69th Street	
REQUEST:	Amend the Zoning Map for the subject properties from Residential Medium (RM) to Mixed Use (MU).	
LOT AREA:	The aggregated size of the parcels is approximately 0.23 acres (10,000 sq./ft.)	

BACKGROUND:

This parcel was zoned as Improved Subdivision (IS) under Monroe County. In 2005, when the City of Marathon adopted the Comprehensive Plan, the parcels were given a Residential Medium (RM) FLUM designation and were in turn zoned as Residential Medium (RM) when the City adopted the current zoning maps in 2007. The applicants are requesting the re-designation of the FLUM map and subsequent rezoning to make the parcels consistent with the Mixed-Use Commercial (MU-C) designation along US1. The FLUM change is not addressed in this application.

Pre 2005	Pre 2007	2005	2007
OLD FLUM	OLD ZONING	CURRENT FLUM	CURRENT ZONING
Mixed Use Commercial	Improved Subdivision	Residential Medium	Residential Medium

Current and Proposed Future Land Uses and Zoning

Future Land Use Map Designation

Current:	Residential Medium (RM)
Proposed:	Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)

Land Use (Zoning) District Designation Existing: Residential Medium (RM)

Proposed: Mixed Use (MU)

Use of Properties

Existing:	Residential	
Proposed:	No plans at this time	

Surrounding FLUM, Zoning and Uses

The property subject to the FLUM amendment is located on 69th Street and consists of one parcel. The property is located next to a property under the same ownership that is zoned Mixed Use on the North side. Adjacent land use to the South is Residential Medium. Across the street the zoning is Mixed Use. The following table correlates existing uses with the existing FLUM, zoning and uses.

	Existing FLUM	Existing Zoning	Existing Uses
North	Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)	Mixed Use (MU)	Vacant commercial
East	Residential Medium (RM)	Residential Medium (RM)	Single family residential
South	Residential Medium (RM)	Residential Medium (RM)	Single family residential
West	Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)	Mixed Use (MU)	Utility and commercial.

Existing Habitat

The existing conditions maps indicate the properties are designated as hammock which is potential habitat for the Eastern Indigo Snake, Key Tree Cactus, and the Stock Island Tree Snail. The parcel is not within the Florida Forever boundaries, which is land that has been identified as critical areas suitable for acquisition by federal, state, or local agencies.

FEMA

The properties are within the AE 6 flood zone.

DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS:

Current FLUM: Residential Medium (RM)

Policy 1-3.1.4 Residential Medium of the Comprehensive Plan states "the principal purpose of the Residential Medium land use category is to provide for medium density residential development."

Residential Medium Allowable Density: Market Rate – 5 Units per acre

Proposed FLUM: Mixed Use Commercial (MUC)

Policy 1-3.1.4 Mixed Use Commercial of the Comprehensive Plan states "the principal purpose of the Mixed-Use Commercial land use category is to provide for the establishment of Mixed-Use development patterns within the City. This land use category is intended to provide for the commercial zoning district where various types of commercial, retail, and office uses may be permitted at intensities which are consistent with the community character and the natural environment and to provide for various types of residential uses, including employee housing and commercial apartments."

Mixed Use Commercial Allowable Density

Market Rate – 2-6 Units per acre Affordable – 10-15 units per acre Transient – 10-25 units per acre

Commercial-Industrial Intensity Table

Type of Use	FAR ¹
Retail	
Low Intensity	.60
Med Intensity	.45
High Intensity	.25
Office	.60
Commercial Recreation	.15
Institutional	.30
Outdoor Recreational	.15
Public Buildings and Uses	.45
Restaurant/Bar	.60
Industrial	.85
Light Industrial in MU	.30

¹ The FAR for mixed use developments may be increased to .75 if mitigated by the development of affordable/workforce housing is provided

ANALYSIS OF FLUM CHANGE REQUEST:

Consistency with Adopted Comprehensive Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies.

The following excerpts from the City of Marathon Comprehensive Plan apply to the proposed development.

Policy 1-1.1.1 states the City is to protect and enhance the "small town" atmosphere and to encourage mixed- use development patterns.

Policy 1-1.1.3 states the City is to protect viable and stable residential neighborhoods from inconsistent uses via LDR standards for landscaping, buffering, bulk restrictions, building height, setbacks, and separation between uses.

Policy 1-1.1.4 states the City shall continue to maintain LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS which implement the following techniques required to create a smooth land use transition where it is not feasible to separate incompatible land uses.

- a. Variable buffers, combining land and landscaping to achieve adequate separation of uses, appropriate open space, reduction of potential noise, light, glare, and pollution, and screening of physical features of a proposed development;
- b. Variable setbacks, based upon degree of difference in proposed use, density, intensity, scale, mass, or height;
- c. Placement and effective screening or shielding of site features such as lights, signs, dumpsters, loading areas, parking areas, outdoor storage, or other features with potential negative impacts;
- d. Effective transitions of on-site densities, intensities, scale, mass, and height; and
- e. Other innovative site design features that effectively achieve compatibility and effectively mitigate potential negative impacts.

FL State Statutes

Relevant criteria promulgated in Chapters 163, 380, and 9J-5 F.A.C. can be itemized in bullets as follows based on the critical concerns more specifically identified in the City's comprehensive plan:

- Natural Resource Protection
 - o Wetlands
 - o Estuaries
 - Living marine resources
 - o Beaches / Dunes
 - Unique wildlife habitat
 - Water Quality
- Historical Resources
- Infrastructure / Concurrency Management
 - o Wastewater
 - o Stormwater
 - Potable Water
 - Solid Waste
 - Transportation
- Affordable Housing
- Hazard Mitigation
 - o CHHA
 - Hurricane Evacuation

- Ports
 - Marina Siting
- Public Use
 - Shoreline use and Access
 - water dependent and independent activity
- Land Acquisition
 - Conservation
 - o CHHA
 - Public Services

These bullet items will be utilized as the focus points for review of the proposed FLUM amendment and for future comprehensive plan amendments.

Natural Resources

Direct impacts to natural resources would differ minimally as a result of the proposed FLUM change. The property is recognized as potential habitat for the Eastern Indigo Snake, Key Tree Cactus, and the Stock Island Tree snail. Any development is subject to the requirements of the FEMA-FWS agreement. It is not within Florida Forever boundaries or critical habitat areas.

There are no associated wetlands, estuaries, beach areas or dunes associated with the area proposed for FLUM change. These are protected resources important to the tenants of Chapter 163, 9J-5, F.A.C., or the Principals for Guiding Development.

The proposed FLUM amendment is consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Historical and Cultural Resources

Protection of historical and cultural resources is crucial under the City's Comprehensive Plan, Chapters 163 and 380 F.S.

There are no known historical or cultural resources associated with the subject properties or within the area of the requested FLUM change. Therefore, the FLUM change would have no impacts on historical or cultural resources. The proposed FLUM amendment is therefore consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Infrastructure

• Wastewater infrastructure

Wastewater as an issue of infrastructure capacity and means of water quality protection represents the backbone to the City's Comprehensive Plan, the tenants of Chapters 163 and 380 F.S. The

subject property inclusive of the area of the proposed FLUM change is served by the Area 5 sewer infrastructure. The Utility Manager for the City of Marathon reviewed the proposed FLUM changes and determined that there would be no adverse impact on sewer capacity if the proposal were approved.

The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of wastewater infrastructure capacity and provide limited or no adverse impact resulting from nutrient loading. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Stormwater infrastructure

Stormwater infrastructure capacity and means of water quality protection represents another of the backbone elements of the City's Comprehensive Plan, the tenants of Chapters 163 and 380 F.S. The City of Marathon requires that all property owners retain their stormwater on site. All proposals for new developments, and redevelopments, must submit detailed civil engineering plans for review by the City Engineer. Proposals for redevelopment would have to obtain all required permits through other applicable agencies, such as the Department of Environmental Protection & South Florida Water Management District.

Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change would have a diminimus impact on stormwater infrastructure capacity.

• Potable Water

Monroe County's potable water facilities do not critically constrain the amount of future growth that can be accommodated in the County (see End Note 1). The current FKAA Consumptive Use Permit, when compared to current potable water consumption rates, will provide sufficient potable water to accommodate existing and committed development plus an additional 18,258 equivalent residential units (ERU's) in unincorporated and incorporated Monroe County. The FKAA's Consumptive Use Permit has been renewed (see End Note 1). Costs of improvements to upgrade facilities for potable water supply, treatment, and distribution, in order to accommodate future growth impacts, would not be borne by the City, as this utility is private and would be in the FKAA's CIP, not the City's.

An increase in potable water demand is not expected as part of the proposed FLUM change, any increase would have a diminimus impact on potable water infrastructure capacity. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Solid Waste

Solid waste capacity is managed in the Florida Keys under haul-out contracts to mainland solid waste facilities. There are currently no limits on solid waste capacity that would be impacted by this FLUM proposal.

The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of solid waste infrastructure capacity. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Transportation

The requested FLUM change is not expected to have an adverse impact on roadway capacity.

Monroe County's roadway facilities do not critically constrain the amount of future growth that can be accommodated in the County or the City (see End Note 1). Although localized deficiencies characterize several segments of US 1, sufficient reserve capacity exists in the overall roadway system to accommodate existing and committed development plus an additional 5,738 residential units (see End Note 1). Only 2,550 were allocated to unincorporated Monroe County in 1992, of which 150 went to the City. Thus, there is reserve capacity on US 1to accommodate planned growth in the City (see End Note 2).

<u>The proposed FLUM change would maintain concurrency levels of transportation on U.S. Highway</u> <u>1. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the</u> <u>Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.</u>

Affordable Housing

Affordable housing is an important issue in the Florida Keys and throughout the state of Florida. The City has well over 200 developed or approved affordable housing units. The proposed FLUM change would facilitate a potential for increased affordable housing on site. The Public FLUM category allows for a potential increase of 15 units per acre of affordable housing versus the RM FLUM category.

The proposed FLUM change will have the effect of enhancing the potential for affordable housing projects. The proposed FLUM amendment is therefore consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Hazard Mitigation

• Coastal High Hazard Areas

The subject parcel, closest to the Atlantic Ocean, is in a Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA). Any future development on site, if encroaching into this zone, would have to comply with all provisions of the local City of Marathon Floodplain Ordinance, in accordance with the standards as set to be a participating community in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

Policy 4- 1.17.6 Limit Redevelopment in CHHA

The City shall limit redevelopment in areas within the CHHA shown by the Local Mitigation Strategy to be particularly susceptible to repeated damage. Criteria for assessing redevelopment

potential for these properties shall be addressed within the Post Disaster Redevelopment Plan, to be prepared pursuant to Policy 4-1.22.3.

Policy 4-1.20.1Discourage Development in the High Velocity AreaThe City shall, through the Land Development Regulations, continue to encourage both residentialand non-residential development away from the areas designated as high velocity storm surge areasthrough disincentives in the adopted BPAS.

While development in the CHHA is to be discouraged in the Comprehensive Plan, it can be permitted by the Land Development Regulations in cases where it cannot be avoided; in these cases, development is required to comply with local Floodplain Management Regulations related to Velocity zone construction. The City has recently revised its floodplain regulations to comply with all recent revisions to the construction standards typically applied in a VE (CHHA) zone.

The proposed FLUM change results in a diminished hazard to public safety. Permanent residential would be replaced with non- residential and therefore represents decreased development in the CHHA on site. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

• Hurricane Evacuation

The critical carrying capacity constraint at the present time is related to the requirement that hurricane evacuation clearance times for Monroe County be maintained at or below 30 hours through the Year 2002, and further reduced to 24 hours by 2010 (see End Note 1).

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity in conjunction with sister state agencies and the participation of all local governments completed an analysis this year of current hurricane evacuation constraints. It was determined that under defined conditions, the County was able to maintain a 24-hour evacuation time while continuing the current ROGO and BPAS allocation formulas. Thus, for the ensuing ten (10) years the City will continue to be able to issue 30 residential allocations per year.

The proposed FLUM change would have a positive impact on hurricane evacuation times with the BPAS system in place. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

<u>Ports – Marina Siting</u>

Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change will have no adverse impact on ports management or the City's Marina Siting Plan. Marinas are allowed under a conditional use permit in the Mixed Use (MU) zoning district under the City's Land Development Regulations; while no marina development is foreseeable, a Marina Operating Permit, consistent with the LDRs would be required, this would include obtaining coordination letters from external agencies, and all necessary Federal, State, and local approvals and permitting.

The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

Public Use – Access to Water

There is no public access to the water from this location

<u>Staff believes that the proposed FLUM change will have no adverse impact on public access to water. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.</u>

Land Acquisition

Land acquisition in the Florida Keys is carried out by the City, County, State, and to a limited extent the federal government for the purposes of resource conservation and management, removal of properties in the CHHA from public ownership, and to provide for public services and facilities. The parcels are not on the Florida Forever boundary map.

The proposed FLUM change would have no impact on land acquisition efforts of the abovementioned entities so long a conservation easement is ensured. The proposed FLUM amendment is, therefore, consistent with these provisions of the Comprehensive Plan and Chapters 16 and 380 F.S.

SUMMARY:

The applicants have requested a change in the Zoning designation for the parcel located on 69th Street. Currently the property is designated as Residential Medium (RM). The applicant is requesting a change to Mixed Use Commercial (MUC), and subsequent rezoning of the parcel.

Staff finds the proposed rezoning consistent with the standards and tenants of Chapter 163 and 380 F.S., and the City's Comprehensive Plan adopted under the requirements of theses statutes and rules.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the above information, the Marathon Planning Department staff recommends that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation to Council for transmittal of the request to amend the Zoning Map for this parcel from Residential Medium (RM) to Mixed Use (MU).

End Notes:

- 1. The source of the future land use analysis based on carrying capacity limitations can be found in the Monroe County Comprehensive Plan Technical Document (Data and Analysis) Section 2.4 (pp. 2-86 2-95).
- 2. City of Marathon, Comprehensive Plan Data and Analysis, page 10.